



Khayyam Journal of Mathematics

emis.de/journals/KJM
kjm-math.org

SOME NUMERICAL RADIUS INEQUALITIES FOR THE ČEBYŠEV FUNCTIONAL AND NONCOMMUTATIVE HILBERT SPACE OPERATORS

MOHAMMAD W. ALOMARI¹

Communicated by A. Jiménez-Vargas.

ABSTRACT. We prove a Grüss inequality for positive Hilbert space operators. Hence, some numerical radius inequalities are proved. On the other hand, based on a noncommutative binomial formula, a noncommutative upper bound for the numerical radius of the summand of two bounded linear Hilbert space operators is proved. A commutative version is also obtained as well.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators defined on the complex Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ with the identity operator $1_{\mathcal{H}}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. A bounded linear operator A defined on \mathcal{H} is self-adjoint if and only if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. The spectrum of an operator A is the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which the operator $\lambda I - A$ does not have a bounded linear operator inverse and is denoted by $\text{sp}(A)$. Consider the real vector space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$ of self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{H} and its positive cone $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ of positive operators on \mathcal{H} . Also, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{sa}^I$ denotes the convex set of bounded self-adjoint operators on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with spectra in a real interval I . A partial order is naturally equipped on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$ by defining $A \leq B$ if and only if $B - A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$. We write $A > 0$ to mean that A is a strictly positive operator, or equivalently, $A \geq 0$ and A is invertible. When $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^n$, we identify $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the algebra $\mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}$ of n -by- n complex matrices. Then $\mathfrak{M}_{n \times n}^+$ is just the cone of n -by- n positive semidefinite matrices.

Date: Received: 17 October 2019; Revised: 12 June 2020; Accepted: 21 June 2020.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 47A12, 47A30 Secondary: 15A60, 47A63.

Key words and phrases. Čebyšev functional, Numerical radius, Noncommutative operators.

For a bounded linear operator T on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the numerical range $W(T)$ is the image of the unit sphere of \mathcal{H} under the quadratic form $x \rightarrow \langle Tx, x \rangle$ associated with the operator. More precisely,

$$W(T) = \{\langle Tx, x \rangle : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

Also, the (maximum) numerical radius is defined by

$$w_{\max}(T) = \sup \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in W(T)\} = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Tx, x \rangle| := w(T),$$

and the (minimum) numerical radius is defined to be

$$w_{\min}(T) = \inf \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in W(T)\} = \inf_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Tx, x \rangle|.$$

The spectral radius of an operator T is defined to be

$$r(T) = \sup \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{sp}(T)\}.$$

We recall that, the usual operator norm of an operator T is defined to be

$$\|T\| = \sup \{\|Tx\| : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(T) &:= \inf \{\|Tx\| : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1\} \\ &= \inf \{|\langle Tx, y \rangle| : x, y \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = \|y\| = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that $w(\cdot)$ defines an operator norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which is equivalent to the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\|T\| \leq w(T) \leq \|T\| \tag{1.1}$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The inequality is sharp.

In 2003, Kittaneh [14] refined the right-hand side of (1.1), where he proved that

$$w(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{1/2})$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

In 2005, the same author in [15] proved that

$$\frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\| \leq w^2(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|A^*A + AA^*\|.$$

The inequality is sharp. This inequality was also reformulated and generalized in [9], but in terms of Cartesian decomposition.

In 2007, Yamazaki [22] improved (1.1) by proving that

$$w(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|T\| + w(\tilde{T}) \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{1/2} \right),$$

where $\tilde{T} = |T|^{1/2}U|T|^{1/2}$ with unitary U .

Dragomir [5] (see also [8]) used the Buzano inequality to improve (1.1), where he proved that

$$w^2(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|T\| + w(T^2)).$$

This result was also recently generalized by Sattari, Moslehian, and Yamazaki [20] and the author of this paper [3].

Dragomir [6] studied the Čebyšev functional

$$\mathcal{C}(f, g; A; x) = \langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle$$

for any self-adjoint operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$. In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{C}(f, f; A; x) = \langle f^2(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle^2.$$

In a sequence of papers, Dragomir proved various bounds for the Čebyšev functional. The most popular result concerning continuous synchronous (asynchronous) functions of self-adjoint linear operators in Hilbert spaces reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$ with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $\gamma < \Gamma$. If $f, g : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and synchronous (asynchronous) on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then*

$$\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle \geq (\leq) \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \langle f(A)x, x \rangle$$

for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

This result was generalized recently in [1, 2]. For more related results concerning Čebyšev–Grüss type inequalities, we refer the reader to [7, 16, 17, 19].

2. RESULTS

The following Grüss inequality for linear bounded operators in inner product Hilbert spaces is valid.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$. If f and g are both measurable functions on $[0, \infty)$, then*

$$|\mathcal{C}(f, g; A; x)| \leq \mathcal{C}^{1/2}(f, f; A; x) \mathcal{C}^{1/2}(g, g; A; x) \quad (2.1)$$

for any $x \in H$. In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \\ & \leq \left(\langle f^2(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\langle g^2(A)x, x \rangle - \langle g(A)x, x \rangle^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is not hard to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(f, g; A; x) & = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (f(t) - f(s))(g(t) - g(s)) d \langle E_t x, x \rangle d \langle E_s x, x \rangle. \quad (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

Utilizing the triangle inequality in (2.2) and then the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we get

$$|\mathcal{C}(f, g; A; x)| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (f(t) - f(s))(g(t) - g(s)) d \langle E_t x, x \rangle d \langle E_s x, x \rangle \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |f(t) - f(s)| |g(t) - g(s)| d\langle E_t x, x \rangle d\langle E_s x, x \rangle \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |f(t) - f(s)|^2 d\langle E_t x, x \rangle d\langle E_s x, x \rangle \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |g(t) - g(s)|^2 d\langle E_t x, x \rangle d\langle E_s x, x \rangle \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^\infty d\langle E_s x, x \rangle \int_0^\infty f^2(t) d\langle E_t x, x \rangle \right. \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^\infty f(t) d\langle E_t x, x \rangle \int_0^\infty f(s) d\langle E_s x, x \rangle \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty d\langle E_t x, x \rangle \int_0^\infty f^2(s) d\langle E_s x, x \rangle \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty d\langle E_s x, x \rangle \int_0^\infty g^2(t) d\langle E_t x, x \rangle \right. \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^\infty g(t) d\langle E_t x, x \rangle \int_0^\infty g(s) d\langle E_s x, x \rangle \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty d\langle E_t x, x \rangle \int_0^\infty g^2(s) d\langle E_s x, x \rangle \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \left(1_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \int_0^\infty f^2(t) d\langle E_t x, x \rangle - \left(\int_0^\infty f(t) d\langle E_t x, x \rangle \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \times \left(1_{\mathcal{H}} \cdot \int_0^\infty g^2(t) d\langle E_t x, x \rangle - \left(\int_0^\infty g(t) d\langle E_t x, x \rangle \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&= (\langle f^2(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle^2)^{1/2} (\langle g^2(A)x, x \rangle - \langle g(A)x, x \rangle^2)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$, which gives the desired result (2.1). \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle A^\alpha x, x \rangle \langle A^{1-\alpha} x, x \rangle| \\
&\leq (\langle A^{2\alpha} x, x \rangle - \langle A^\alpha x, x \rangle^2)^{1/2} (\langle A^{2(1-\alpha)} x, x \rangle - \langle A^{1-\alpha} x, x \rangle^2)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and all $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

Proof. Setting $f(t) = t^\alpha$ and $g(t) = t^{1-\alpha}$ in (2.1), we get the desired result. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$. If f and g are both measurable functions on $[0, \infty)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_{\max}(f(A)g(A)) - w_{\min}(f(A)) \cdot w_{\min}(g(A)) \\
&\leq [\|f(A)\|^2 - \ell^2(f^{1/2}(A))]^{1/2} \cdot [\|g(A)\|^2 - \ell^2(g^{1/2}(A))]^{1/2}. \quad (2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the basic triangle inequality $\|a\| - \|b\| \leq \|a - b\|$, we have from (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(|\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle|) - (|\langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle|)| \\ & \leq |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \\ & \leq (\langle f^2(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle^2)^{1/2} (\langle g^2(A)x, x \rangle - \langle g(A)x, x \rangle^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left| |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle| - |\langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \\ & \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle| - \inf_{\|x\|=1} \{|\langle f(A)x, x \rangle| |\langle g(A)x, x \rangle|\} \\ & \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle| - \inf_{\|x\|=1} |\langle f(A)x, x \rangle| \cdot \inf_{\|x\|=1} |\langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \\ & \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} [\|f(A)x\|^2 - \langle f(A)x, x \rangle^2]^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \sup_{\|x\|=1} [\|g(A)x\|^2 - \langle g(A)x, x \rangle^2]^{1/2} \\ & \leq \left[\sup_{\|x\|=1} \|f(A)x\|^2 - \inf_{\|x\|=1} \langle f(A)x, x \rangle^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left[\sup_{\|x\|=1} \|g(A)x\|^2 - \inf_{\|x\|=1} \langle g(A)x, x \rangle^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ & = [\|f(A)\|^2 - \ell^2(f^{1/2}(A))]^{1/2} \cdot [\|g(A)\|^2 - \ell^2(g^{1/2}(A))]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & w_{\max}(f(A)g(A)) - w_{\min}(f(A))w_{\min}(g(A)) \\ & \leq [\|f(A)\|^2 - \ell^2(f^{1/2}(A))]^{1/2} \cdot [\|g(A)\|^2 - \ell^2(g^{1/2}(A))]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & w_{\max}(f(A)g(A)) - w_{\min}(f(A)) \cdot w_{\min}(g(A)) \\ & \leq [\|f(A)\|^2 - \ell^2(f^{1/2}(A))]^{1/2} \cdot [\|g(A)\|^2 - \ell^2(g^{1/2}(A))]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired result. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & w_{\max}(A) - w_{\min}(A^\alpha) \cdot w_{\min}(A^{1-\alpha}) \\ & \leq [\|A^\alpha\|^2 - \ell^2(A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})]^{1/2} \cdot [\|A^{1-\alpha}\|^2 - \ell^2(A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}})]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$. In particular,

$$w_{\max}(A) - w_{\min}^2(A^{1/2}) \leq \|A^{1/2}\|^2 - \ell^2(A^{1/4}) \quad (2.4)$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Setting $f(t) = t^\alpha$ and $g(t) = t^{1-\alpha}$ in (2.3), we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$. If f is a measurable function on $[0, \infty)$, then*

$$w_{\max}(f^2(A)) - w_{\min}^2(f(A)) \leq \|f(A)\|^2 - \ell^2(f^{1/2}(A)) \quad (2.5)$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Setting $f = g$ in (2.1), we get the desired result. \square

A generalization of (2.4) can be deduced from (2.5) as follows.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$. Then, for any $p > 0$, the inequality*

$$w_{\max}(A^{2p}) - w_{\min}^2(A^p) \leq \|A^p\|^2 - \ell^2(A^{p/2})$$

holds for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

The Schwarz inequality for positive operators reads that if A is a positive operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$|\langle Ax, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle \langle Ay, y \rangle, \quad (2.6)$$

for any vectors $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.

In 1951, Reid [18] proved an inequality that in some senses considered a variant of Schwarz inequality. In fact, he proved that for all operators $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that A is positive and AB is self-adjoint, we have

$$|\langle ABx, y \rangle| \leq \|B\| \langle Ax, x \rangle, \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Halmos [10] presented his stronger version of the Reid inequality (2.7) by replacing $r(B)$ instead of $\|B\|$.

In 1952, Kato [11] introduced a companion inequality of (2.6), called the mixed Schwarz inequality, which asserts

$$|\langle Ax, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle |A|^{2\alpha} x, x \rangle \langle |A^*|^{2(1-\alpha)} y, y \rangle, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \quad (2.8)$$

for all positive operators $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and any vectors $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, where $|A| = (A^*A)^{1/2}$.

In 1988, Kittaneh [12] proved a very interesting extension combining both the Halmos–Reid inequality (2.7) and the mixed Schwarz inequality (2.8). His result reads that

$$|\langle ABx, y \rangle| \leq r(B) \|f(|A|)x\| \|g(|A^*|)y\| \quad (2.9)$$

for any vectors $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, where $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfy $|A|B = B^*|A|$ and f and g are nonnegative continuous functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying $f(t)g(t) = t$ ($t \geq 0$). Clearly, choose $f(t) = t^\alpha$ and $g(t) = t^{1-\alpha}$ with $B = 1_{\mathcal{H}}$, so we refer to (2.8). Moreover, by choosing $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, some manipulations refer to the Halmos version of the Reid inequality.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If f and g are both positive continuous and $f(t)g(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\max}(A) - w_{\min}(f(A)) \cdot w_{\min}(g(A)) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f^2(|A|) + g^2(|A^*|)\| - \ell^2(f^{1/2}(A)) \cdot \ell^2(g^{1/2}(A)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $f(t)g(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then from the proof of Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle| - |\langle f(A)x, x \rangle| |\langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \\ & \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle| - \inf_{\|x\|=1} \{|\langle f(A)x, x \rangle| |\langle g(A)x, x \rangle|\} \\ & = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Ax, x \rangle| - \inf_{\|x\|=1} |\langle f(A)x, x \rangle| \cdot \inf_{\|x\|=1} |\langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \quad (\text{by (2.9)}) \\ & \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \langle f^2(|A|x, x) \rangle^{1/2} \langle g^2(|A^*|x, x) \rangle^{1/2} \\ & \quad - \inf_{\|x\|=1} |\langle f(A)x, x \rangle| \cdot \inf_{\|x\|=1} |\langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \\ & \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \langle f^2(|A|x, x) \rangle^{1/2} \langle g^2(|A^*|x, x) \rangle^{1/2} \\ & \quad - \inf_{\|x\|=1} |\langle f(A)x, x \rangle| \cdot \inf_{\|x\|=1} |\langle g(A)x, x \rangle| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \langle [f^2(|A|) + g^2(|A^*|)]x, x \rangle \\ & \quad - \inf_{\|x\|=1} |\langle f(A)x, x \rangle| \cdot \inf_{\|x\|=1} |\langle g(A)x, x \rangle|, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the required result. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$. If f and g are both positive continuous and $f(t)g(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\max}(A) - w_{\min}(A^\alpha) \cdot w_{\min}(A^{1-\alpha}) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| |A|^{2\alpha} + |A^*|^{2(1-\alpha)} \right\| - \ell^2(A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \cdot \ell^2(A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$w_{\max}(A) - w_{\min}^2(A^{1/2}) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|A\| + \|A^*\|) - \ell^4(A^{1/4}).$$

Theorem 2.9. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} w((A+B)^2) & \leq w(A^2) + w(B^2) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \min \{w(BA^2B) + \|AB\|^2, w(AB^2A) + \|BA\|^2\}. \quad (2.10) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us first note that the Dragomir refinement of Cauchy–Schwarz inequality reads that (see [4])

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq |\langle x, e \rangle \langle e, y \rangle| + |\langle x, y \rangle - \langle x, e \rangle \langle e, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

for all $x, y, e \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|e\| = 1$.

It is easy to deduce the inequality

$$|\langle x, e \rangle \langle e, y \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2} (|\langle x, y \rangle| + \|x\| \|y\|). \quad (2.11)$$

Utilizing the triangle inequality, we have

$$|\langle (A + B)^2 x, x \rangle| \leq |\langle A^2 x, x \rangle| + |\langle ABx, x \rangle| |\langle x, A^* B^* x \rangle| + |\langle B^2 x, x \rangle|, \quad (2.12)$$

so that by setting $e = u$, $x = ABu$, $y = A^* B^* u$ in (2.11), we get

$$|\langle ABu, u \rangle \langle u, A^* B^* u \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2} (|\langle ABu, A^* B^* u \rangle| + \|ABu\| \|A^* B^* u\|).$$

Substituting in (2.12) and taking the supremum over all unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we get

$$w((A + B)^2) \leq w(A^2) + w(B^2) + \frac{1}{2} (w(BA^2B) + \|AB\|^2).$$

Replacing B by A and A by B in the previous inequality, we get that

$$w((B + A)^2) \leq w(B^2) + w(A^2) + \frac{1}{2} (w(AB^2A) + \|BA\|^2).$$

The desired result holds using the previous two inequalities. \square

Corollary 2.10. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$w(A^2) \leq \frac{1}{4} (w(A^4) + \|A^2\|^2).$$

Proof. Setting $A = B$ in (2.10), we get the desired result. \square

Let \mathcal{U} be an associative algebra, not necessarily commutative, with identity $1_{\mathcal{U}}$. For two elements A and B in \mathcal{U} , that commute; that is, $AB = BA$. It is well known, the binomial theorem reads that

$$(A + B)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} A^k B^{n-k}. \quad (2.13)$$

Wyss [21] derived an interesting noncommutative binomial formula for commutative algebra \mathcal{U} with identity $1_{\mathcal{U}}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ denotes the algebra of linear transformations from \mathcal{U} to \mathcal{U} . Let $A, X \in \mathcal{U}$; then the element (commutator) d_A in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ is defined by

$$d_A(X) = [A, X] = AX - XA.$$

It follows that, A and d_A are elements of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$. Moreover, A can be looked upon as an element in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ by $A(X) = AX$, which is the left multiplication.

The following properties are hold (see [21]):

- (1) A and d_A commute; that is, $Ad_A(X) = d_AA(X)$.
- (2) d_A is a derivation on \mathcal{U} ; that is, $d_A(XY) = (d_AX)Y + X(d_AY)$.
- (3) $(A - d_A)X = XA$.
- (4) The Jacobi identity $d_Ad_B(C) + d_Bd_C(A) + d_Cd_A(B) = 0$ holds.

Using these properties, Wyss [21] proved the following noncommutative version of binomial theorem:

$$(A + B)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{U}} \right\} B^{n-k} \quad (2.14)$$

for all elements A and B in the associative algebra \mathcal{U} with identity $1_{\mathcal{U}}$.

We write

$$(A + d_B)^n 1_{\mathcal{U}} = A^n + D_n(B, A). \quad (2.15)$$

For a commutative algebra, $D_n(B, A)$ is identically zero. We thus call $D_n(B, A)$ the essential noncommutative part. Moreover, $D_n(B, A)$ satisfies the following recurrence relation:

$$D_{n+1}(B, A) = d_B A^n + (A + d_B) D_n(B, A), \quad n \geq 0$$

with $D_0(B, A) = 0$.

A noncommutative upper bound for the summand of two bounded linear Hilbert space operators is proved in the following result.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If f and g are both positive continuous and $f(t)g(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} w((A + B)^n) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \binom{n}{k} \left\| f \left(\left| \left\{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} B^{n-k} \right| \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + g \left(\left| (B^{n-k})^* \left\{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \right\}^* \right| \right) \right\}, \quad (2.16) \end{aligned}$$

where $d_B(A) = [B, A] = BA - AB$ and $d_B^*(A) = [B, A]^* = A^*B^* - B^*A^*$.

Proof. By utilizing the triangle inequality in (2.14) and by employing (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle (A + B)^n x, y \rangle| \\ &= \left| \left\langle \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} B^{n-k} \right) x, y \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left| \left\langle \left\{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} B^{n-k} x, y \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\| f \left(\left| \left\{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} B^{n-k} \right| \right) x \right\| \\ &\quad \times \left\| g \left(\left| (B^{n-k})^* \left\{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \right\}^* \right| \right) y \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\langle f \left(\left| \left\{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \right\} B^{n-k} \right| \right) x, x \right\rangle^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left\langle g \left(\left| (B^{n-k})^* \left\{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \right\}^* \right| \right) y, y \right\rangle^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left[\left\langle f \left(\left| \{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \} B^{n-k} \right| \right) x, x \right\rangle + \left\langle g \left(\left| (B^{n-k})^* \{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \}^* \right| \right) y, y \right\rangle \right],$$

where the last inequality follows by applying the AM-GM inequality. Hence, by letting $y = x$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle (A + B)^n x, x \rangle| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left[\left\langle f \left(\left| \{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \} B^{n-k} \right| \right) x, x \right\rangle + \left\langle g \left(\left| (B^{n-k})^* \{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \}^* \right| \right) x, x \right\rangle \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \left\langle \left\{ f \left(\left| \{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \} B^{n-k} \right| \right) + g \left(\left| (B^{n-k})^* \{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \}^* \right| \right) \right\} x, x \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we get the required result. \square

Remark 2.12. Taking the supremum over all unit vectors $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ in the proof of Theorem 2.11, we get the following power norm inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A + B)^n\| & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \binom{n}{k} \left\| f \left(\left| \{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \} B^{n-k} \right| \right) + g \left(\left| (B^{n-k})^* \{ (A + d_B)^k 1_{\mathcal{H}} \}^* \right| \right) \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Corollary 2.13. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If f and g are both positive continuous and $f(t)g(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} w(A + B) & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f(|B|) + g(|B^*|) + f(|A + d_B A|) + g(|(A^* + A^* d_B^*)|)\|, \quad (2.17) \end{aligned}$$

where $d_B(A) = [B, A] = BA - AB$ and $d_B^*(A) = [B, A]^* = A^* B^* - B^* A^*$.

Proof. Setting $n = 1$ in (2.16), we get that

$$w(A + B) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f(|B|) + g(|B^*|) + f(|(A + d_B) 1_{\mathcal{H}}|) + f(|(A + d_B)^* 1_{\mathcal{H}}|)\|.$$

Making use of (2.15), we have

$$(A + d_B) 1_{\mathcal{H}} = A + D_1(B, A) = A + d_B A$$

and

$$(A + d_B)^* 1_{\mathcal{H}} = (A^* + d_B^*) 1_{\mathcal{H}} = A^* + D_1(B^*, A^*) = A^* + A^* d_B^*.$$

Hence,

$$w(A + B) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f(|B|) + g(|B^*|) + f(|A + d_B A|) + g(|(A^* + A^* d_B^*)|)\|,$$

which gives the required result. \square

Remark 2.14. As noted in Remark 2.12 and deduced in Corollary 2.13, we may observe that

$$\|A + B\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f(|B|) + g(|B^*|) + f(|A + d_B A|) + g(|(A^* + A^* d_B^*)|)\|$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Corollary 2.15. *For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that commute, if f and g are both positive continuous and $f(t)g(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then*

$$w((A + B)^n) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \|f(|A^k B^{n-k}|) + g(|(B^{n-k})^* (A^k)^*|)\|.$$

In particular,

$$w(A + B) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f(|B|) + g(|B^*|) + f(|A|) + g(|A^*|)\|.$$

Proof. Since $AB = BA$, then $d_B = 0$ in (2.17). Alternatively, we may use (2.13) and proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.11. \square

Remark 2.16. As in the same way we previously remarked, for $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that commute, we have

$$\|(A + B)^n\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \|f(|A^k B^{n-k}|) + g(|(B^{n-k})^* (A^k)^*|)\|.$$

In particular,

$$\|A + B\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f(|B|) + g(|B^*|) + f(|A|) + g(|A^*|)\|.$$

Setting $f(t) = t^\alpha$ and $g(t) = t^{1-\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, in the last inequality above, we get

$$\|A + B\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |B|^\alpha + |B^*|^{1-\alpha} + |A|^\alpha + |A^*|^{1-\alpha} \|.$$

In special case, for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\|A + B\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |B|^{1/2} + |B^*|^{1/2} + |A|^{1/2} + |A^*|^{1/2} \|.$$

Corollary 2.17. *For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, if f and g are both positive continuous and $f(t)g(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then*

$$w(A^n) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|f(|A^n|) + g(|(A^n)^*|)\|). \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. Setting $B = 0$ in (2.16), we get the desired result. In another way, one may set $B = A$ in Corollary 2.15, so that we get

$$w(A^n) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \|f(|A^n|) + g(|(A^n)^*|)\| \cdot \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k},$$

but since $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} = 2^n$, then we get the required result. \square

Corollary 2.18. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$w(A^n) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\| |A^n|^\alpha + |(A^n)^*|^{1-\alpha} \| \right).$$

In particular,

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\| |A|^\alpha + |A^*|^{1-\alpha} \| \right). \quad (2.19)$$

Proof. Setting $f(t) = t^\alpha$ and $g(t) = t^{1-\alpha}$ in (2.18), we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 2.19. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\| |A| + 1_{\mathcal{H}} \|) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \|A\| + \sqrt{(\|A\| - 1)^2 + 4\|A\|} \right).$$

Proof. Letting $\alpha = 1$ in (2.19), we get the first inequality. The second inequality follows by employing the norm estimates (see [13])

$$\|A + B\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|A\| + \|B\| + \sqrt{(\|A\| - \|B\|)^2 + 4\|A^{1/2}B^{1/2}\|^2} \right),$$

and then

$$\|A^{1/2}B^{1/2}\| \leq \|AB\|^{1/2},$$

in the first inequality and use the fact that $\| |A| \| = \|A\|$. In other words, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| |A| + 1_{\mathcal{H}} \| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\| |A| \| + \| 1_{\mathcal{H}} \| + \sqrt{(\| |A| \| - 1)^2 + 4\| |A|^{1/2} 1_{\mathcal{H}} \|^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \|A\| + \sqrt{(\|A\| - 1)^2 + 4\|A\|} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the required result. \square

REFERENCES

1. M.W. Alomari, *Pompeiu–Čebyšev type inequalities for selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces*, Adv. Oper. Theory **3** (2018) no. 3, 459–472.
2. M.W. Alomari, *On Pompeiu–Čebyšev type inequalities for positive linear maps of selfadjoint operators in inner product spaces*, J. Adv. Math. **15** (2018) 8081–8092.
3. M.W. Alomari, *Refinements of some numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Linear Multilinear Algebra (2019), DOI: [10.1080/03081087.2019.1624682](https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2019.1624682).
4. S.S. Dragomir, *Some refinements of Schwarz inequality*, in: Proceedings of the Simpozionul de Matematici si Aplicatii, Timisoara, Romania, pp. 13–16, 1985.
5. S.S. Dragomir, *Some inequalities for the norm and the numerical radius of linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, Tamkang J. Math. **39** (2008), 1–7.

6. S.S. Dragomir, *Čebyšev's type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **58** (2010), no. 7-8, 805–814.
7. S.S. Dragomir, *Operator Inequalities of the Jensen, Čebyšev and Grüss Type*, Springer, New York, 2012.
8. S.S. Dragomir, *Inequalities for the Numerical Radius of Linear Operators in Hilbert Spaces*, SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2013.
9. M. El-Haddad and F. Kittaneh, *Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators. II*, Studia Math. **182** (2007), no. 2, 133–140.
10. P.R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Van Nostrand Co. Inc. Princeton-Toronto-London 1967 1967.
11. T. Kato, *Notes on some inequalities for linear operators*, Math. Ann. **125** (1952) 208–212.
12. F. Kittaneh, *Notes on some inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **24** (1988), no. 2, 283–293.
13. F. Kittaneh, *Norm inequalities for certain operator sums*, J. Funct. Anal. **143** (1997), no. 2, 337–348.
14. F. Kittaneh, *A numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix*, Studia Math. **158** (2003) 11–17.
15. F. Kittaneh, *Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Studia Math. **168** (2005) 73–80.
16. J.S. Matharu and M.S. Moslehian, *Grüss inequality for some types of positive linear maps*, J. Operator Theory **73** (2015), no. 1, 265–278.
17. M.S. Moslehian and M. Bakherad, *Chebyshev type inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **420** (2014), no. 1, 737–749.
18. W. Reid, *Symmetrizable completely continuous linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. **18** (1951) 41–56.
19. J. Roojin, S. Karami, and M. Ghaderi Aghideh, *A new approach to numerical radius of quadratic operators*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **11** (2020), no. 3, 879–896.
20. M. Sattari, M.S. Moslehian and T. Yamazaki, *Some generalized numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. **470** (2015) 216–227.
21. W. Wyss, *Two noncommutative binomial theorems*, arXiv:1707.03861v2 [math.RA].
22. T. Yamazaki, *On upper and lower bounds of the numerical radius and an equality condition*, Studia Math. **178** (2007) 83–89.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, JADARA UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 733, IRBID, P.C. 21110, JORDAN.

Email address: mwomath@gmail.com