Khayyam J. Math. 1 (2015), no. 2, 219–229 DOI: 10.22034/kjm.2015.13166



n-DUAL SPACES ASSOCIATED TO A NORMED SPACE

YOSAFAT E. P. PANGALELA

Communicated by M. Filali

ABSTRACT. For a real normed space X, we study the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and show that the space is a Banach space. Meanwhile, for a real normed space X of dimension $d \ge n$ which satisfies property (G), we discuss the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G)$, where $\|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G$ is the Gähler n-norm. We then investigate the relationship between the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G)$. We use this relationship to determine the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G)$ and show that the space is also a Banach space.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 1960's, the notion of *n*-normed spaces was introduced by Gähler [2, 3, 4, 5] as a generalisation of normed spaces. For every real normed space X of dimension $d \ge n$, Gähler showed that X can be viewed as an *n*-normed space by using the Gähler *n*-norm, which is denoted by $\|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G$. This *n*-norm is defined by using the set of bounded linear functionals on X. Since then, many researchers have studied operators and functionals on *n*-normed space X (see [1, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15]).

In [13], the author and Gunawan introduced the concept of *n*-dual spaces. For every real normed space X of dimension $d \ge n$, there are two *n*-dual spaces associated to X. The first is the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, and the other is the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G)$. In case X is the l^p space for some $1 \le p < \infty$, the author and Gunawan have investigated and given the relationship between both *n*-dual spaces [13]. Here we provide an analogues result on more general normed spaces.

Date: Received: 16 August 2015, Accepted: 04 December 2015.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B20; Secondary 46C05, 46C15, 46B99, 46C99.

Key words and phrases. n-dual spaces, n-normed spaces, bounded linear functionals.

Y.E.P. PANGALELA

For a real normed space X, we investigate the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ by using the (n-1)-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (Theorem 3.2). We then focus on a real normed space X of dimension $d \ge n$ which satisfies property (G) and discuss the relationship between the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G)$ (Theorem 4.5). It is interesting to observe that both the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ are Banach spaces (Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.7).

2. Preliminaries

Let *n* be a nonnegative integer and *X* a real vector space of dimension $d \ge n$. We call a real-valued function $\|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|$ on X^n an *n*-norm on *X* if for all $x_1, \ldots, x_n, x' \in X$, we have

- (1) $||x_1, \ldots, x_n|| = 0$ if and only if x_1, \ldots, x_n are linearly dependent;
- (2) $||x_1, \ldots, x_n||$ is invariant under permutation;
- (3) $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$; and
- (4) $||x_1 + x', \dots, x_n|| \le ||x_1, \dots, x_n|| + ||x', \dots, x_n||.$

We then call the pair $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ an *n*-normed space.

An example of an *n*-normed space is the l^p space, where $1 \leq p < \infty$, equipped with

$$||x_1, \dots, x_n||_p := \left(\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j_1} \dots \sum_{j_n} |\det(x_{ij_k})|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in l^p$ (see [7, Section 3]).

Another interesting example of *n*-normed spaces is the Gähler *n*-norm which was introduced in [3, 4, 5]. Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \ge n$, and $X^{(1)}$ the dual space of X. Gähler showed that the function $\|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G$ which is given by

$$||x_1, \dots, x_n||_G := \sup_{\substack{f_i \in X^{(1)}, ||f_i|| \le 1 \\ 1 \le i \le n}} \left| \det \left[f_j \left(x_i \right) \right]_{i,j} \right|$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$, is an *n*-norm on *X*. Hence every real normed space *X* can be viewed as an *n*-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|_G)$.

Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \ge n$. Any real-valued function f on X^n is called an *n*-functional on X. An *n*-functional f is multilinear if it satisfies two following properties:

(1) $f(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) = \sum_{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}, 1 \le i \le n} f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and (2) $f(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_n x_n) = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in X$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

For multilinear *n*-functionals f, h on X, we define an *n*-functional f + h by

$$(f+h)(x_1,...,x_n) := f(x_1,...,x_n) + h(x_1,...,x_n)$$

for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$. Then f + h is also multilinear. On the other hand, we say f = h if

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = h(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$.

We call an *n*-functional f bounded on a real normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (respectively, an *n*-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$) if there exists a constant K > 0 such that

$$|f(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \le K ||x_1|| \cdots ||x_n||$$
 (respectively, $|f(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \le K ||x_1, \dots, x_n||$)
for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

Let S_n denote the group of permutations of $(1, \ldots, n)$. Recall from [13] that every bounded multilinear *n*-functional f on $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|)$ is *antisymmetric* in the sense that

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) f(x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,x_{\sigma(n)})$$

for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and $\sigma \in S_n$. Here $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = 1$ if σ is an even permutation, and $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = -1$ if σ is an odd permutation. Note that if f is antisymmetric then for any linearly dependent $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$, we have $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$.

Remark 2.1. In general, we do not have the antisymmetric property for bounded multilinear *n*-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

The space of bounded multilinear *n*-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and denoted by $X^{(n)}$. For n = 0, we define $X^{(0)}$ as \mathbb{R} . The function $\|\cdot\|_{n,1}$ on $X^{(n)}$ where

$$||f||_{n,1} := \sup_{x_1,\dots,x_n \neq 0} \frac{|f(x_1,\dots,x_n)|}{||x_1||\cdots||x_n||}$$

for $f \in X^{(n)}$, defines a norm on $X^{(n)}$ and then $X^{(n)}$ is a normed space.

Meanwhile, the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|)$ is the space of all bounded multilinear *n*-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|)$. This space is also a normed space with the following norm

$$||f||_{n,n} := \sup_{||x_1,\dots,x_n|| \neq 0} \frac{|f(x_1,\dots,x_n)|}{||x_1,\dots,x_n||}$$

Now let X, Y be real normed spaces. We write B(X, Y) to denote the set of bounded linear operators from X into Y. The function $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ where

$$\left\| u \right\|_{\mathrm{op}} := \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\left\| u \left(x \right) \right\|}{\left\| x \right\|}$$

for every $u \in B(X, Y)$, is a norm on B(X, Y). For simplification, we write B(X, Y) to denote the normed space B(X, Y) equipped with $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$. Otherwise, if $\|\cdot\|^*$ is a norm function on B(X, Y), we write $(B(X, Y), \|\cdot\|^*)$ to denote the normed space B(X, Y) equipped by the norm $\|\cdot\|^*$.

3. The *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$

In this section, we first identify the bounded multilinear *n*-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (Proposition 3.1). We then identify the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ by using the (n-1)-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (Theorem 3.2). Finally we show that the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space (Theorem 3.3).

Proposition 3.1. Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \ge n$ and f a bounded multilinear n-functional on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Then there exists $u_f \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$ such that for $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z \in X$,

$$f(x_1,...,x_{n-1},z) = (u_f(z))(x_1,...,x_{n-1}).$$

Furthermore, $||f||_{n,1} = ||u_f||_{\text{op}}$.

Proof. Take $z \in X$ and define an (n-1)-functional f_z on X with

$$f_z(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}) := f(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},z)$$

for $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$. We show $f_z \in X^{(n-1)}$. Note that for $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1} \in X$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$f_{z} (x_{1} + y_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1} + y_{n-1}) = f (x_{1} + y_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1} + y_{n-1}, z)$$
$$= \sum_{z_{i} \in \{x_{i}, y_{i}\}, 1 \le i \le n-1} f (z_{1}, \dots, z_{n-1}, z)$$
$$= \sum_{z_{i} \in \{x_{i}, y_{i}\}, 1 \le i \le n-1} f_{z} (z_{1}, \dots, z_{n-1}),$$

$$f_{z}(\alpha_{1}x_{1},\ldots,\alpha_{n-1}x_{n-1}) = f(\alpha_{1}x_{1},\ldots,\alpha_{n-1}x_{n-1},z)$$
$$= \alpha_{1}\cdots\alpha_{n-1}f(x_{1},\ldots,x_{n-1},z)$$
$$= \alpha_{1}\cdots\alpha_{n-1}f_{z}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{n-1}),$$

and

$$|f_z(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})| = |f(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},z)| \le ||f||_{n,1} ||z|| (||x_1||\cdots||x_{n-1}||)$$

since f is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Hence $f_z : X^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is multilinear and bounded; and then $f_z \in X^{(n-1)}$.

Now define $u_f : X \to X^{(n-1)}$ with $u_f(z) := f_z$ for $z \in X$. We have to show $u_f \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$. First we show that u_f is linear. Take $z_1, z_2 \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. For every $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$, we have

$$(u_f (\alpha z_1 + \beta z_2)) (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = f_{\alpha z_1 + \beta z_2} (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = f (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \alpha z_1 + \beta z_2) = f (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \alpha z_1) + f (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \beta z_2) = \alpha f (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z_1) + \beta f (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z_2) = \alpha f_{z_1} (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) + \beta f_{z_2} (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (\alpha u_f (z_1)) (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) + (\beta u_f (z_2)) (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = (\alpha u_f (z_1) + \beta u_f (z_2)) (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$$

and

$$u_f \left(\alpha z_1 + \beta z_2 \right) = \alpha u_f \left(z_1 \right) + \beta u_f \left(z_2 \right).$$

Hence u_f is linear.

Next we show the boundedness of u_f . Take $z \in X$. Then for $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(u_f(z))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})| &= |f_z(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})| = |f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z)| \\ &\leq ||f||_{n,1} ||x_1|| \cdots ||x_{n-1}|| ||z|| \quad (f \text{ is bounded on } (X, ||\cdot||)) \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\|u_{f}(z)\| = \sup_{x_{1},\dots,x_{n-1}\neq 0} \frac{|(u_{f}(z))(x_{1},\dots,x_{n-1})|}{\|x_{1}\|\cdots\|x_{n-1}\|} \le \|f\|_{n,1} \|z\|$$

which is finite. This implies

$$\sup_{z \neq 0} \frac{\|u_f(z)\|}{\|z\|} \le \|f\|_{n,1}$$

which is finite. Therefore u_f is bounded and $||u_f||_{\text{op}} \leq ||f||_{n,1}$.

Finally we claim that $||u_f||_{\text{op}} = ||f||_{n,1}$. Recall that we already have $||u_f||_{\text{op}} \le ||f||_{n,1}$. To show the reverse inequality, note that for $z \in X$, $u_f(z) = f_z$ is bounded. Then for $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z \in X$,

$$|f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z)| = |f_z(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})|$$

$$\leq ||f_z||_{n,1} ||x_1|| \cdots ||x_{n-1}||$$

$$(f \text{ is bounded on } (X, \|\cdot\|))$$

$$= ||u_f(z)|| ||x_1|| \cdots ||x_{n-1}||$$

$$\leq (||u_f||_{op} ||z||) ||x_1|| \cdots ||x_{n-1}||$$

since u_f is bounded. Hence

$$\|f\|_{n,1} = \sup_{x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},z\neq 0} \frac{|f(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},z)|}{\|x_1\|\cdots\|x_{n-1}\|\|z\|} \le \|u_f\|_{\text{op}}$$

and $||f||_{n,1} \le ||u_f||_{\text{op}}$. Therefore $||u_f||_{\text{op}} = ||f||_{n,1}$, as claimed.

Theorem 3.2. Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \ge n$. Then the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is $B(X, X^{(n-1)})$.

Proof. For a bounded multilinear *n*-functional f on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, let $u_f \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$ be as in Proposition 3.1. Define a map θ from the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ to $B(X, X^{(n-1)})$ with

$$\theta\left(f\right) := u_f$$

for $f \in X^{(n)}$. We have to show that θ is isometric and bijective.

The isometricness of θ follows from Proposition 3.1.

Next we show the injectivity of θ . Let f, h be bounded multilinear *n*-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ such that $\theta(f) = \theta(h)$. Then $u_f = u_h$ and for every $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n \in X$, we have

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = (u_f(x_n))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$$

= $(u_h(x_n))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$
= $h(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n).$

Hence f = h and θ is injective.

To show that θ is surjective, we take $u \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$ and have to show that there exists a bounded multilinear *n*-functional f_u on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ such that $\theta(f_u) = u$. Now we define f_u an *n*-functional on X where

$$f_{u}(x_{1},...,x_{n-1},x_{n}) := (u(x_{n}))(x_{1},...,x_{n-1})$$

for $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n \in X$. We claim that f_u is multilinear and bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

First we show that f_u is multilinear. Take $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in X$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$f_u (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) = (u (x_n + y_n)) (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_{n-1} + y_{n-1})$$

$$= \sum_{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}, 1 \le i \le n-1} (u (x_n + y_n)) (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$$

$$= \sum_{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}, 1 \le i \le n-1} (u (x_n) + u (y_n)) (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$$

$$= \sum_{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}, 1 \le i \le n-1} (f (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n) + f (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, y_n))$$

$$= \sum_{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\}, 1 \le i \le n} f (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n)$$

and

$$f_u(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_n x_n) = (u(\alpha_n x_n))(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} x_{n-1})$$

= $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} (u(\alpha_n x_n))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) (u(\alpha_n x_n) \text{ is multilinear})$
= $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n (u(x_n))(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) (u \text{ is linear})$
= $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n f_u(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n).$

Hence f_u is multilinear.

Next we show that f_u is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Take $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$. Then

$$f_{u}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_{n})| = |(u(x_{n}))(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1})|$$

$$\leq ||u(x_{n})|| ||x_{1}|| \cdots ||x_{n-1}|| \quad (u(x_{n}) \text{ is bounded})$$

$$\leq (||u||_{op} ||x_{n}||) ||x_{1}|| \cdots ||x_{n-1}|| \quad (u \text{ is bounded})$$

and f_u is bounded.

Hence f_u is multilinear and bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, as claimed. Note that $\theta(f_u) = u_{f_u}$. Take $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and we have

$$(u(x_n))(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}) = f_u(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_n) = ((u_{f_u})(x_n))(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})$$

Then $u(x_n) = u_{f_u}(x_n)$ for $x_n \in X$, and

$$u = u_{f_u} = \theta\left(f_u\right).$$

Therefore, θ is surjective and a bijection, as required.

Recall from [8, Theorem 2.10-2] that for normed spaces X, Y, the normed space B(X, Y) is a Banach space if Y is a Banach space. Since \mathbb{R} is a Banach space, then for every normed space $X, X^{(1)}$ is also a Banach space. Hence Theorem 3.2 with n = 2 implies that $X^{(2)}$ is also a Banach space. Therefore, by induction and Theorem 3.2, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \ge n$. Then the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space.

4. The *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$

In this section, we focus on normed spaces of dimension $d \ge n$ which satisfy property (G). On this space, we investigate the relationship between bounded multilinear *n*-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_G)$ and bounded multilinear *n*-functionals on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ (Lemma 4.3). We then use it to determine the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ (Theorem 4.5) and show that the space is a Banach space (Theorem 4.7).

First we recall the functional g and property (G) introduced by Miličić in [12]. The functional $g: X^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$g(x,y) := \frac{\|x\|}{2} \left(\tau_{-}(x,y) + \tau_{+}(x,y) \right)$$

where

$$\tau_{\pm}(x,y) := \lim_{t \to \pm 0} t^{-1} \left(\|x + ty\| - \|x\| \right).$$

The functional g satisfies the following properties: for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$

(G1) $g(x, x) = ||x||^2;$ (G2) $g(\alpha x, \beta y) = \alpha \beta g(x, y);$ (G3) $g(x, x + y) = ||x||^2 + g(x, y);$ and (G4) $|g(x, y)| \le ||x|| ||y||.$

We say that a real normed space X satisfies property (G) if the functional g(x, y) is linear with respect to $y \in X$. In that case, we then call g a semi-inner product on X. For example, for $1 \le p < \infty$, the l^p space satisfies property (G) (see [16]).

By using the semi-inner product g, we define an orthogonal relation on X as follows:

$$x \perp_q y \Leftrightarrow g(x, y) = 0.$$

Let $x \in X$ and $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \subseteq X$. We write $\Gamma(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ to denote the Gram determinant det $[g(y_i, y_j)]_{i,j}$. If $\Gamma(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \neq 0$, then the vector

$$x_Y := -\frac{1}{\Gamma(y_1, \dots, y_n)} \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y_1 & \dots & y_n \\ g(y_1, x) & g(y_1, y_1) & \dots & g(y_1, y_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ g(y_n, x) & g(y_n, y_1) & \dots & g(y_n, y_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the Gram-Schimdt projection of the vector x on Y.

Next let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a linearly independent set of vectors in X. As in [12], we call $x_1^\circ, \ldots, x_n^\circ$ the left g-orthogonal sequence where $x_1^\circ := x_1$ and for $i = 2, \ldots, n$,

$$x_i^{\circ} := x_i - (x_i)_{S_{i-1}} \,,$$

where $S_{i-1} := \text{span} \{x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}\}$. Note that if i < j, then $x_i^\circ \perp_g x_j^\circ$ and $g(x_i^\circ, x_j^\circ) = 0$.

Proposition 4.1. Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \ge n$ which satisfies property (G). Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a linearly independent set of vectors in X. Then

$$||x_1^{\circ}|| \cdots ||x_n^{\circ}|| \le ||x_1, \dots, x_n||_G \le n! ||x_1|| \cdots ||x_n||$$

Proof. First we show the right inequality. Note that

$$\begin{split} x_1, \dots, x_n \|_G &= \sup_{\substack{f_i \in X^{(1)}, \|f_i\| \leq 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} \left| \det \left[f_j \left(x_i \right) \right]_{i,j} \right| \\ &= \sup_{\substack{f_i \in X^{(1)}, \|f_i\| \leq 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} \left| \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn} \left(\sigma \right) \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\sigma(i)} \left(x_i \right) \right| \text{ (by the Leibniz formula)} \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{f_i \in X^{(1)}, \|f_i\| \leq 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \left| \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\sigma(i)} \left(x_i \right) \right| \text{ (by the triangle inequality)} \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{f_i \in X^{(1)}, \|f_i\| \leq 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \|f_{\sigma(i)}\| \|x_i\| \right) \text{ (each } f_i \text{ is bounded)} \\ &\leq \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \right) \\ &= n! \|x_1\| \cdots \|x_n\|, \end{split}$$

as required.

To show the left inequality, we first show that for a fixed $x \in X$, the functional g_x on X defined by

$$g_x\left(y\right) := \frac{g\left(x,y\right)}{\|x\|}$$

for $y \in X$, is bounded and linear. The linearity follows since X satisfies property (G). Now take $y \in X$, by (G4), we have

$$\left|g_{x}\left(y\right)\right| = \left|\frac{g\left(x,y\right)}{\|x\|}\right| \le \|y\|$$

and g_x is bounded, as required. Hence for $x \in X$, $g_x \in X^{(1)}$. Furthermore, $||g_x|| \le 1$. Now note that $||x_1, \ldots, x_n||_G = ||x_1^\circ, \ldots, x_n^\circ||_G$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_G &= \|x_1^{\circ}, \dots, x_n^{\circ}\|_G = \sup_{\substack{f_i \in X^{(1)}, \|f_i\| \le 1\\ 1 \le i \le n}} \left| \det \left[f_j \left(x_i^{\circ} \right) \right]_{i,j} \right| \\ &\ge \left| \det \left[g_{x_j^{\circ}}(x_i^{\circ}) \right]_{i,j} \right| = \frac{1}{\|x_1^{\circ}\| \cdots \|x_n^{\circ}\|} \left| \det \left[g(x_j^{\circ}, x_i^{\circ}) \right]_{i,j} \right|. \end{aligned}$$
(4.1)

,

Since $x_1^{\circ}, \ldots, x_n^{\circ}$ is the left *g*-orhogonal sequence, then $g(x_i^{\circ}, x_j^{\circ}) = 0$ if i < j. By (G1), we get $g(x_i^{\circ}, x_i^{\circ}) = ||x_i^{\circ}||^2$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. This implies

$$\left|\det\left[g(x_j^{\circ}, x_i^{\circ})\right]_{i,j}\right| = \|x_1^{\circ}\|^2 \cdots \|x_n^{\circ}\|^2$$

and (4.1) become

$$\|x_1,\ldots,x_n\|_G \ge \|x_1^\circ\|\cdots\|x_n^\circ\|$$

as required.

Remark 4.2. Proposition 4.1 is a generalisation of Theorem 2.2 in [16]. In [16, Theorem 2.2], Wibawa-Kusumah and Gunawan only proved Proposition 4.1 for l^p spaces where $1 \le p < \infty$.

Lemma 4.3. Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \ge n$ which satisfies property (G). Let f be a multilinear n-functional on X. Then f is antisymmetric and bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ if and only if f is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_G)$. Furthermore

$$\|f\|_{n,n} \le \|f\|_{n,1} \le n! \|f\|_{n,n}.$$

Proof. First suppose that f is antisymmetric bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Take linearly independent $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$. Then

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = f(x_1^\circ,\ldots,x_n^\circ)$$

and by the left inequality in Proposition 4.1,

$$\frac{|f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|_G} \le \frac{|f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|}{\|x_1^\circ\| \dots \|x_n^\circ\|} = \frac{|f(x_1^\circ, \dots, x_n^\circ)|}{\|x_1^\circ\| \dots \|x_n^\circ\|} \\ \le \|f\|_{n,1} \ (f \text{ is bounded on } (X, \|\cdot\|))$$

which is finite. Hence f is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ and

$$\|f\|_{n,n} \le \|f\|_{n,1} \,. \tag{4.2}$$

Next suppose that f is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$. Then f is antisymmetric. To show the boundedness of f on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, we take linearly independent $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$. Then by the right inequality in Proposition 4.1,

$$\frac{|f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)|}{\|x_1\|\cdots\|x_n\|} \leq n! \frac{|f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)|}{\|x_1,\ldots,x_n\|_G} \leq n! \|f\|_{n,n} \quad (f \text{ is bounded on } (X,\|\cdot,\cdots,\cdot\|_G))$$

which is finite. Hence f is bounded on $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and

$$\|f\|_{n,1} \le n! \, \|f\|_{n,n} \,. \tag{4.3}$$

Finally, by (4.2) and (4.3), we get

$$\|f\|_{n,n} \le \|f\|_{n,1} \le n! \, \|f\|_{n,n} \, ,$$

as required.

Now we say $u \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$ antisymmetric if for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and $\sigma \in S_n$,

$$(u(x_n))(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) (u(x_{\sigma(n)})) (x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,x_{\sigma(n-1)})$$

and then define $B_{as}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ as the collection of antisymmetric elements of $B(X, X^{(n-1)})$. Note that $B_{as}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ is also a normed space with the norm inherited from $B(X, X^{(n-1)})$ which is $\|\cdot\|_{op}$.

Note that Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 4.3 imply that every bounded multilinear *n*-functional on $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ can be identified as an element of $B_{\rm as}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ and vice versa. Therefore Lemma 4.3 implies the following corollary and theorem.

Corollary 4.4. Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \ge n$ which satisfies property (G). The function $\|\cdot\|_G$ on $B_{as}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ where

$$||u||_{G} := \sup_{||x_{1},\dots,x_{n}||_{G} \neq 0} \frac{|(u(x_{n}))(x_{1},\dots,x_{n-1})|}{||x_{1},\dots,x_{n}||_{G}}$$

for $u \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$, defines a norm on $B_{as}(X, X^{(n-1)})$. Furthermore, $\|\cdot\|_G$ and $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ are equivalent norms on $B_{as}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ with

$$||u||_G \le ||u||_{\text{op}} \le n! ||u||_G$$

for $u \in B(X, X^{(n-1)})$.

Theorem 4.5. Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \ge n$ which satisfies property (G). Then the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ is $(B_{as}(X, X^{(n-1)}), \|\cdot\|_G)$.

The rest of this section is devoted to show that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the *n*-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ is a Banach space.

Theorem 4.6. Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \ge n$ which satisfies property (G). Then $B_{as}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ is a Banach space.

Proof. Since every closed subspace of a Banach space is also a Banach space, then by Theorem 3.3, it suffices to show that $B_{as}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ is a closed subspace of $B(X, X^{(n-1)})$.

Take a sequence $\{u_m\} \subseteq B_{as}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ such that $u_m \to u$. We have to show $u \in B_{as}(X, X^{(n-1)})$. In other words, for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and $\sigma \in S_n$, we have to show

 $(u(x_n))(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)(u(x_{\sigma(n)}))(x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,x_{\sigma(n-1)}).$

Take $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and $\sigma \in S_n$. First note that for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\|u(x_n) - u_m(x_n)\| = \|(u - u_m)(x_n)\| \le \|u - u_m\|_{\text{op}} \|x_n\|$$
(4.4)

since $u-u_m$ is bounded. Since $u(x_n)$, $u_m(x_n) \in X^{(n-1)}$, then $(u-u_m)(x_n)$ is bounded and for $y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1} \in X$, we have

$$\|((u - u_m)(x_n))(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})\| \le \|u(x_n) - u_m(x_n)\| \|y_1\| \cdots \|y_{n-1}\|.$$
(4.5)

Since $u_m \to u$, then by (4.4) and (4.5), we get

$$(u_m(x_n))(y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1}) \to (u(x_n))(y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1})$$
 (4.6)

for $y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1} \in X$. Since u_m is antisymmetric for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then (4.6) implies

$$(u(x_n))(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\left(u\left(x_{\sigma(n)}\right)\right)\left(x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,x_{\sigma(n-1)}\right),$$

as required. Thus $B_{\rm as}(X, X^{(n-1)})$ is closed and then a Banach space.

Furthermore, since $\|\cdot\|_G$ and $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ are equivalent norms on $B_{as}(X, X^{(n-1)})$, then by Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.6, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. Let X be a real normed space of dimension $d \ge n$ which satisfies property (G). Then the n-dual space of $(X, \|\cdot, \cdots, \cdot\|_G)$ is a Banach space.

References

- H. Batkunde, H. Gunawan, and Y.E.P. Pangalela, Bounded linear functionals on the nnormed space of p-summable sequences, Acta Univ. M. Belii Ser. Math. 21 (2013), 66–75.
- 2. S. Gähler, Lineare 2-normierte räume, Math. Nachr. 28 (1964), 1–43.
- S. Gähler, Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m-metrische räume I, Math. Nachr. 40 (1969), 165–189.
- S. Gähler, Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m-metrische räume II, Math. Nachr. 40 (1969), 229–264.
- S. G\u00e4hler, Untersuchungen \u00fcber verallgemeinerte m-metrische r\u00e4ume III, Math. Nachr. 41 (1969), 23–36.
- S.M. Gozali, H. Gunawan and O. Neswan, On n-norms and n-bounded linear functionals in a Hilbert space, Ann. Funct. Anal. 1 (2010), 72–79.
- H. Gunawan, The space of p-summable sequences and its natural n-norm, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 64 (2001), 137–147.
- E. Kreyszig, Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 1978.

- S.N. Lal and M. Das, 2-functionals and some extension theorems in linear spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 13 (1982), 912–919.
- Z. Lewandowska, Bounded 2-linear operators on 2-normed sets, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 39 (2004), 301–312.
- R. Malcheski and Z. Cvetkovski, Dual space of the space of bounded linear n-functionals, Mat. Bilten 36 (2012), 47–53.
- 12. P.M. Miličić, On the Gram-Schmidt projection in normed spaces, Univ. Beograd Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. 4 (1993), 85–92.
- Y.E.P. Pangalela and H. Gunawan, The n-dual space of the space of p-summable sequences, Math. Bohem. 138 (2013), 439–448.
- A.L. Soenjaya, On n-bounded and n-continuous operator in n-normed space, J. Indones. Math. Soc. 18 (2012), 45–56.
- 15. A. White, 2-Banach spaces, Math. Nachr. 42 (1969), 43-60.
- R.A. Wibawa-Kusumah and H. Gunawan, Two equivalent n-norms on the space of psummable sequences, Period. Math. Hungar. 67 (2013), 63–69.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF OTAGO, PO BOX 56, DUNEDIN 9054, NEW ZEALAND

E-mail address: yosafat.pangalela@maths.otago.ac.nz