



# Khayyam Journal of Mathematics

emis.de/journals/KJM  
kjm-math.org

## TOEPLITZ AND HANKEL OPERATORS ON A VECTOR-VALUED BERGMAN SPACE

NAMITA DAS

Communicated by A.R. Mirmostafae

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we derive certain algebraic properties of Toeplitz and Hankel operators defined on the vector-valued Bergman spaces  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  where  $\mathbb{D}$  is the open unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $n \geq 1$ . We show that the set of all Toeplitz operators  $T_\Phi, \Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  is strongly dense in the set of all bounded linear operators  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$  and characterize all finite rank little Hankel operators.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  be the open unit disc in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} dx dy = \frac{1}{\pi} r dr d\theta$  be the area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$  normalised so that the area of  $\mathbb{D}$  is 1. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the Bergman space  $L_a^p(\mathbb{D})$  is the space of all holomorphic functions  $f$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  for which

$$\|f\|_{L_a^p(\mathbb{D})} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

The quantity  $\|\cdot\|_{L_a^p(\mathbb{D})}$  is a norm if  $p \geq 1$ . Thus  $L_a^p(\mathbb{D})$  is the subspace of holomorphic functions that are in the space  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ . The Bergman spaces are Banach spaces, which is a consequence of the estimate:

$$\sup_{z \in K} |f(z)| \leq C_K \|f\|_{L_a^p(\mathbb{D})}$$

valid on compact subsets  $K$  of  $\mathbb{D}$ . If  $p = 2$ , then  $L_a^p(\mathbb{D})$  is a Hilbert space. Since point evaluation at  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  is a bounded linear functional [12] on the Hilbert

*Date:* Received: 07 November 2014; Accepted: 18 December 2015.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B38, 47B35, 46E40, 46E22.

*Key words and phrases.* Bergman space, Toeplitz operators, little Hankel operators, strong-operator topology, finite rank operators.

space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , the Riesz representation theorem implies that there exists a unique function  $K_z$  in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(w) \overline{K_z(w)} dA(w)$$

for all  $f$  in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Let  $K(z, w)$  be the function on  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$  defined by

$$K(z, w) = \overline{K_z(w)}.$$

The function  $K(z, w)$  is thus the reproducing kernel for the Bergman space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and is called the Bergman kernel. The sequence  $\{e_n(z)\}_{n \geq 0} = \{\sqrt{n+1}z^n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of functions [12] form the standard orthonormal basis for  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and

$$K(z, w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n(z) \overline{e_n(w)}.$$

The Bergman kernel is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis and  $K(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1-z\bar{w})^2}$ . Let  $k_a(z) = \frac{K(z, a)}{\sqrt{K(a, a)}} = \frac{1-|a|^2}{(1-\bar{a}z)^2}$ . These functions  $k_a$  are called the normalized reproducing kernels of  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ ; it is clear that they are unit vectors in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Let  $L^\infty(\mathbb{D}, dA)$  denote the Banach space of Lebesgue measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  with

$$\|f\|_\infty = \text{esssup}\{|f(z)| : z \in \mathbb{D}\} < \infty$$

and  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  be the space of bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Let  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}) = L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = L^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \otimes M_n$  where  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) = M_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$  is the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ . The space  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $n \geq 1$  is called the vector-valued Bergman space. The inner product on  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  is defined as

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \langle f(z), g(z) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} dA(z).$$

With this inner product  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  is a Hilbert space. The norm defined on  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  is given by

$$\|f\|_{L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}, dA)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \|f(z)\|_{\mathbb{C}^n}^2 dA(z).$$

It is a closed subspace of  $L^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}, dA) = L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $P$  denote the orthogonal projection from  $L^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}, dA)$  onto  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$ . For  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , we define the Toeplitz operator  $T_\Phi$  from  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  into itself as  $T_\Phi f = P(\Phi f)$  and the Hankel operator  $H_\Phi$  from  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  into  $(L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))^\perp = L^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}, dA) \ominus L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  as  $H_\Phi f = (I - P)(\Phi f)$ . For  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , define  $\|\Phi\|_\infty = \text{esssup}_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \|\Phi(z)\|$ . If  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , then it is not difficult to see that  $\|T_\Phi\| \leq \|\Phi\|_\infty$  and  $\|H_\Phi\| \leq \|\Phi\|_\infty$ . This is so as  $\|P\| \leq 1$  and  $\|I - P\| \leq 1$ .

For  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , we define the little Hankel operator  $S_\Phi$  from  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  into itself as  $S_\Phi f = PJ(\Phi f)$  where  $J : L^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}, dA) \rightarrow L^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}, dA)$  is defined as  $Jf(z) = f(\bar{z})$ . The map  $J$  is unitary. There are also many equivalent ways of defining little Hankel operators. Let  $\overline{L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})} = \overline{L_a^2(\mathbb{D})} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ . For  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , define  $h_\Phi$  from  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  into  $\overline{L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})}$  as  $h_\Phi f = \overline{P}(\Phi f)$  where  $\overline{P}$  is the orthogonal

projection from  $L^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}, dA)$  onto  $\overline{L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})}$ . It is not difficult to verify that  $h_\Phi = JS_\Phi$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  be the set of all bounded linear operators from the Hilbert space  $H$  into itself and  $\mathcal{LC}(H)$  be the set of all compact operators in  $\mathcal{L}(H)$ .

Consider the direct sum  $\sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k$ , with each  $L_k$  the same Hilbert space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

Define the bounded linear operators

$$U_i : L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k, \quad V_i : \sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k \longrightarrow L_a^2(\mathbb{D}),$$

for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  as follows. When  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $g = \{g_k\} \in \sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k$ ,  $V_i g = g_i$  and  $U_i f$  is the family  $\{h_k\}$  in which  $h_i = f$  and all other  $h_k$  are 0. Let  $L'_i$  be the range of  $U_i$ . It consists of all elements  $\{h_k\}$  of  $\sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k$  in which  $h_k = 0$  when  $k \neq i$ . The space  $L'_i$  is a closed subspace of  $\sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k$  and observe that  $V_i U_i$  is the identity operator on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $U_i V_i$  is the projection  $E_i$  from  $\sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k$  onto  $L'_i$ . Since the subspace  $L'_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  are pairwise orthogonal, and  $\bigvee_{i=1}^n L'_i = \sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k$ , it follows that the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n E_i = I$ . Note that  $U_i = V_i^*$ , since

$$\langle U_i f, \{f_k\} \rangle = \langle f, f_i \rangle = \langle f, V_i \{f_k\} \rangle$$

whenever  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\{f_k\} \in \sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k$ . With each bounded linear operator  $T$  acting on  $\sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k$ , we associate a matrix  $(T_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ , with entries  $T_{ij}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  defined by

$$T_{ij} = V_i T U_j. \tag{1.1}$$

If  $g = \{g_k\} \in \sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k$ , then  $Tg$  is an element  $\{p_k\}$  of  $\sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k$  and

$$p_i = V_i T g = V_i T \left( \sum_{k=1}^n E_k g \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n V_i T U_j V_j g = \sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij} g_j.$$

Thus

$$T \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \oplus g_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \oplus p_k \text{ where } p_i = \sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij} g_j, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}. \tag{1.2}$$

The usual rules of matrix algebra have natural analogues in this situation. From (1.1), the matrix elements  $T_{ij}$  depend linearly on  $T$ . Since

$$V_i T^* U_j = U_i^* T^* V_j^* = (V_j T U_i)^* = (T_{ji})^*,$$

the matrix of  $T^*$  has  $(T_{ji})^*$  in the  $(i, j)$  position. If  $S$  and  $T$  are bounded linear operators acting on  $\sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k$ , and  $R = ST$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij} &= V_i R U_j = V_i S T U_j = \sum_{k=1}^n V_i S E_k T U_j \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n V_i S U_k V_k T U_j = \sum_{k=1}^n S_{ik} T_{kj}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we establish a one-to-one correspondence between elements of  $\mathcal{L}(\sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k)$  and certain matrices  $(T_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$  with entries  $T_{ij}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ . Each such matrix corresponds to some bounded operator  $T$  acting on  $\sum_{k=1}^n \oplus L_k$ ; indeed,  $T$  is defined by (1.2), and its boundedness follows at once from the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{p_k\}\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \|p_i\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij} g_j \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \|T_{ij}\| \|g_j\| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \|T_{ij}\|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \|g_j\|^2 \right) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \|T_{ij}\|^2 \right) \|\{g_k\}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we derive certain algebraic properties of Toeplitz and Hankel operators defined on the vector-valued Bergman spaces  $L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}), n \geq 1$ . We have shown that if there exists  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $AT_\Phi B = T_\Phi$  for all  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , then  $A = \alpha I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))}, B = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha\beta = 1$  and that the set of all Toeplitz operators  $T_\Phi, \Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  is strongly dense in the set of all bounded linear operators  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$  and characterize all finite rank little Hankel operators defined on the vector-valued Bergman space. The layout of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we establish that if  $AT_\Phi B = T_\Phi$  for all  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , then  $A = \alpha I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))}, B = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha\beta = 1$ . Furthermore, it is shown that the set of all Toeplitz operators  $T_\Phi, \Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  from  $L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  into itself is strongly dense in the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$ . In section 3, we prove that there exists no finite rank Hankel operator  $H_\Phi$  with nonconstant matrix-valued symbol  $\Phi$  that is diagonal. We further establish certain elementary properties of little Hankel operators and characterize all finite rank little Hankel operators with diagonal matrix-valued symbols.

## 2. TOEPLITZ OPERATORS WITH SYMBOLS IN $L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$

In this section we have shown that if there exists  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $AT_\Phi B = T_\Phi$  for all  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , then  $A = \alpha I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))}, B = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha\beta = 1$ . Here  $I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))}$  is the identity operator from the space  $L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  into itself. Further, we show that the set of all Toeplitz operators  $T_\Phi, \Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  from  $L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  into itself is strongly dense in the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})), n \geq 1$  and  $AT_\Phi B = T_\Phi$  for all  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , then  $A = \alpha I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))}, B = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha\beta = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})), n \geq 1$  and  $AT_\Phi B = T_\Phi$  for all  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Since  $L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}) = L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ , we obtain

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & A_{n2} & \cdots & A_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & \cdots & B_{1n} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & \cdots & B_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ B_{n1} & B_{n2} & \cdots & B_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})) \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}. \text{ Here } A_{ij} = V_i A U_j \text{ and } B_{ij} =$$

$V_iBU_j$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Further, as  $\Phi \in L^\infty_{M_n}(\mathbb{D}) = L^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \otimes M_n$ , we have

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} & \cdots & \phi_{1n} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} & \cdots & \phi_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \phi_{n1} & \phi_{n2} & \cdots & \phi_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \phi_{ij} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Hence

$$T_\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} T_{\phi_{11}} & T_{\phi_{12}} & \cdots & T_{\phi_{1n}} \\ T_{\phi_{21}} & T_{\phi_{22}} & \cdots & T_{\phi_{2n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ T_{\phi_{n1}} & T_{\phi_{n2}} & \cdots & T_{\phi_{nn}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By considering elementary matrices of the type

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & T_{\phi_{ij}} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with just one nonzero  $(i, j)$ th entry  $T_{\phi_{ij}}$ ,  $\phi_{ij} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and using the operator equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & A_{n2} & \cdots & A_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & T_{\phi_{ij}} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & \cdots & B_{1n} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & \cdots & B_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ B_{n1} & B_{n2} & \cdots & B_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & T_{\phi_{ij}} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

it follows from [5] that  $V_iAU_j = V_iBU_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $V_iAU_i = \alpha I_{\mathcal{L}(L^2_a(\mathbb{D}))}$ ,  $V_iBU_i = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(L^2_a(\mathbb{D}))}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\alpha\beta = 1$ . This implies  $A = \alpha I_{\mathcal{L}(L^2_a(\mathbb{D}))}$  and  $B = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(L^2_a(\mathbb{D}))}$ . The theorem follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $F_i = \begin{pmatrix} F_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ F_{in} \end{pmatrix} \in L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $G_i = \begin{pmatrix} G_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ G_{in} \end{pmatrix} \in L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Then there exists  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $\langle T_\Phi F_i, G_i \rangle = \langle T F_i, G_i \rangle$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$  and  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$  respectively be bases of the finite-dimensional subspaces of  $L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  generated by  $F_1, \dots, F_N$  and  $G_1, \dots, G_N$ . We shall find  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $\langle T_\Phi f_i, g_j \rangle = \langle T f_i, g_j \rangle$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

Consider the operator  $R : L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k \times m}$ , defined by  $(R\Phi)_{ij} = \langle T_\Phi f_i, g_j \rangle$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Suppose  $u \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times m}$  is orthogonal to the range of  $R$ . That is, let

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m (R\Phi)_{ij} \overline{u_{ij}} = 0$$

for all  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . This implies (taking  $\Phi = I_{n \times n}$ , the identity matrix)

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m \langle f_i, g_j \rangle_{L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})} \overline{u_{ij}} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m \langle f_i(z), g_j(z) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \overline{u_{ij}} = 0$$

almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Since the left hand side is obviously continuous on  $\mathbb{D}$ , this equality holds, in fact, on the whole of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Thus the function

$$\Omega(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m \langle f_i(x), g_j(\bar{y}) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \overline{u_{ij}}$$

which is analytic in  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ , equals zero when  $x = \bar{y}$ . By the uniqueness theorem [11], this implies that  $\Omega \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ . Because, functions  $f_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , are linearly independent, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^m u_{ij} g_j(\bar{y}) = 0$$

for all  $y \in \mathbb{D}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ; but  $g_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are also linearly independent, and so  $u_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ ; i.e.,  $u = 0$ . This means that the range of  $R$  is all of  $\mathbb{C}^{k \times m}$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** The set of all Toeplitz operators  $T_\Phi, \Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$  in the strong operator topology.

*Proof.* From Theorem 2.2, it follows that the collection  $\mathcal{N} = \{T_\Phi : \Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$  in the weak operator topology. As  $\mathcal{N}$  is a subspace, i.e., a convex set, its weak operator topology and strong operator topology closures coincide. Hence  $\mathcal{N}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$  in the strong operator topology. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$ . Then there exists  $\Phi_N \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $T_{\Phi_N} \rightarrow T$  in the strong operator topology. This can also be verified as follows: Let  $T =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1n} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} & \cdots & T_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ T_{n1} & T_{n2} & \cdots & T_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } T_{ij} = V_i T U_j \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})).$$

From [6] and [7], it follows that  $\{T_\phi : \phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  in the strong operator topology. Thus there exists a sequence  $T_{\phi_m^{ij}}$  that converges to  $T_{ij}$  strongly for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\Phi_m = (\phi_m^{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ . Then for  $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)^T \in L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\Phi_m} F - T F\|^2 &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} T_{\phi_m^{11}} - T_{11} & T_{\phi_m^{12}} - T_{12} & \cdots & T_{\phi_m^{1n}} - T_{1n} \\ T_{\phi_m^{21}} - T_{21} & T_{\phi_m^{22}} - T_{22} & \cdots & T_{\phi_m^{2n}} - T_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ T_{\phi_m^{n1}} - T_{n1} & T_{\phi_m^{n2}} - T_{n2} & \cdots & T_{\phi_m^{nn}} - T_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} (T_{\phi_m^{11}} - T_{11})f_1 + (T_{\phi_m^{12}} - T_{12})f_2 + \cdots + (T_{\phi_m^{1n}} - T_{1n})f_n \\ (T_{\phi_m^{21}} - T_{21})f_1 + (T_{\phi_m^{22}} - T_{22})f_2 + \cdots + (T_{\phi_m^{2n}} - T_{2n})f_n \\ \vdots \\ (T_{\phi_m^{n1}} - T_{n1})f_1 + (T_{\phi_m^{n2}} - T_{n2})f_2 + \cdots + (T_{\phi_m^{nn}} - T_{nn})f_n \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|T_{\phi_m^{ij}} f_j - T_{ij} f_j\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence the set of all Toeplitz operators  $\{T_\Phi, \Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^{2,\mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$  in the strong operator topology.  $\square$

### 3. Hankel operators with matrix-valued symbols

Suppose  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . In this section we show that  $H_\Phi \equiv 0$  if and only if  $\Phi \in H_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  and that there exists no finite rank Hankel operator  $H_\Phi$  with nonconstant matrix-valued symbol  $\Phi$  that is diagonal. We further establish certain elementary properties of little Hankel operators and characterize all finite rank little Hankel operators with diagonal matrix-valued symbols.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \phi_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\phi_{ii} \in$*

$L^\infty(\mathbb{D}), 1 \leq i \leq n$ . The following hold:

- (i) *The operator  $H_\Phi \equiv 0$  if and only if  $\Phi \in H_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ .*

- (ii) The operator  $H_{\phi_{jj}} \neq 0$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  if and only if  $\ker H_{\Phi} = \{0\}$ . Further  $H_{\Phi} \equiv 0$  if and only if  $\ker H_{\Phi} = L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$ .
- (iii) If in addition,  $\Phi \in H_{M_n}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ , then the operator  $H_{\Phi^*}$  is a finite rank Hankel operator if and only if  $\Phi$  is a diagonal matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* It is not difficult to see that  $H_{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\phi_{11}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & H_{\phi_{22}} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & H_{\phi_{nn}} \end{pmatrix}$  where

$H_{\phi_{ii}} \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  is a Hankel operator with symbol  $\phi_{ii} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ .

Suppose  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ . Before we begin the proof of the theorem, the points to note are the following:

- (a) If  $\phi f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  for all  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  then  $\phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ .
- (b)  $H_{\phi} \equiv 0$  if and only if  $\phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ .

The statement (a) can be verified as follows: Suppose  $\phi L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then  $T_{\phi}f = \phi f$  and therefore  $\phi(z) = \frac{T_{\phi}f(z)}{f(z)}$ . Hence  $\phi$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{D} - \{\text{zeros of } f\}$ . Each isolated singularity of  $\phi$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  is removable, since  $\phi$  is assumed to be bounded. Thus  $\phi$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Since  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ , we have  $\phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ .

To establish (b), suppose  $H_{\phi} \equiv 0$ . Then  $H_{\phi}f = 0$  for all  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . That is,  $T_{\phi}f = \phi f$ . From (a) it follows that  $\phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ . Conversely, if  $\phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ , then  $\phi f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  for all  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Hence  $H_{\phi}f = 0$  for all  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Therefore  $H_{\phi} \equiv 0$ .

Now (i) follows from (a) and (b) since  $H_{\Phi} \equiv 0$  if and only if  $H_{\phi_{jj}} \equiv 0$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . That is, if and only if  $\phi_{jj} \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Thus  $H_{\Phi} \equiv 0$  if and only if  $\Phi \in H_{M_n}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ .

To prove (ii), suppose  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ker H_{\phi} &= \{f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) : (I - P)(\phi f) = 0\} \\ &= \{f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) : \phi f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if  $\ker H_{\phi} \neq \{0\}$ , then  $\phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  (proceed as in (a)). This implies  $H_{\phi}$  is equivalent to zero and  $\ker H_{\phi} = L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Thus if  $H_{\phi} \neq 0$ , then  $\ker H_{\phi} = \{0\}$ . Further, if  $\ker H_{\phi} = \{0\}$  then it follows that  $\phi \notin H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $H_{\phi} \neq 0$ . To prove (ii), let  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ . Then  $\ker H_{\Phi}$  is equal to

$$\left\{ (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) \in L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}) : \begin{pmatrix} H_{\phi_{11}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & H_{\phi_{22}} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & H_{\phi_{nn}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ = \{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) \in L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}) : H_{\phi_{jj}}f_j = 0 \text{ for all } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Thus it follows that  $\ker H_{\Phi} = \{0\}$  if and only if  $\ker H_{\phi_{jj}} = \{0\}$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . But  $\ker H_{\phi_{jj}} = \{0\}$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  if and only if  $H_{\phi_{jj}} \neq 0$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

To prove (iii), we shall first show that if  $\phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ , then  $H_{\bar{\phi}}$  is a finite rank Hankel operator if and only if  $\phi$  is a constant. This can be verified as follows:



Sufficiency is obvious. For the necessity, suppose that  $H_{\bar{\phi}}$  is a finite rank operator, where  $\phi$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then

$$\ker H_{\bar{\phi}} = \{f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) : (I - P)(\bar{\phi}f) = 0\} = \{f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) : \bar{\phi}f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})\}$$

has finite codimension and is invariant under multiplication by  $z$ . By the result of Axler and Bourdon [1], there exists a polynomial  $q$  whose roots lie in  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $\ker H_{\bar{\phi}} = qL_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Let  $\phi(z) = \sum c_k z^k$ ; then  $\bar{\phi}(z)q(z) \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  implies that either  $\phi$  is a constant or  $q = 0$ . If  $q = 0$  then  $\ker H_{\bar{\phi}} = \{0\}$ . This implies  $(\text{Range } H_{\bar{\phi}}^*)^\perp = \{0\}$ . Hence  $\text{Range } H_{\bar{\phi}}^* = L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . This implies  $H_{\bar{\phi}}$  is not of finite rank. Hence  $q \neq 0$  since  $H_{\bar{\phi}}$  has finite rank, so the claim is verified.

Now if  $\Phi \in H_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  then  $H_{\Phi^*}$  is a finite rank Hankel operator if and only if  $H_{\bar{\phi}_{jj}}$  is of finite rank for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . That is, if and only if  $\bar{\phi}_{jj}$  is a constant for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . That is, if and only if  $\Phi$  is a diagonal matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

**Definition-3.1** A function  $G \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  is called an inner function in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  if  $|G|^2 - 1$  is orthogonal to  $H^\infty$ .

This definition of inner function in a Bergman space was given by Korenblum and Stessin [10]. If  $N$  is a subspace of  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , let  $Z(N) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : f(z) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in N\}$ , which is called the common zero set of functions in  $N$ . Hence if  $z_1$  is a zero of multiplicity at most  $n$  of all functions in  $N$ , then  $z_1$  appears  $n$  times in the set  $Z(N)$ , and each  $z_1$  is treated as a distinct element of  $Z(N)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\Phi = (\phi_{ij})$  where  $\phi_{ij} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Suppose  $\phi_{ij} = 0$  if  $i \neq j$  and let  $S_\Phi \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$  be the little Hankel operator with symbol  $\Phi$ . The following hold:

- (i) The operator  $S_\Phi \equiv 0$  if and only if  $\Phi \in \overline{(L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))}^\perp$ .
- (ii) The operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$  is a little Hankel operator if and only if  $T_{zI_{n \times n}}^* S = S T_{zI_{n \times n}}$  where  $I_{n \times n}$  is the identity matrix of order  $n$ .
- (iii) If  $\Psi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ , then the subspace  $\ker S_\Psi$  is an invariant subspace of  $T_{zI_{n \times n}}$ .
- (iv) Let  $\Psi = (\psi_{ij})$ ,  $\psi_{ij} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\psi_{ij}^+(z) = \overline{\psi_{ij}(\bar{z})}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Then  $S_\Psi^* = S_{\Psi^+}$  where  $\Psi^+ = (\psi_{ij}^+)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ .
- (v) If for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\ker S_{\phi_{jj}} = \{f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) : f = 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{b}_{jj}\}$  where  $\mathbf{b}_{jj} = \{b_{jj}^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is an infinite sequence of points in  $\mathbb{D}$ , then there exists an inner function  $G \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $\ker S_\Phi = GL_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}) \cap L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$ .
- (vi) If  $S_\Phi$  is a finite rank little Hankel operator on  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  then  $\ker S_\Phi = GL_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  for some inner function  $G \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and the following hold: (1)  $G$  vanishes on  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_j\}_{j=1}^N$ , a finite sequence of points in  $\mathbb{D}$ . (2)  $\|G\|_{L^2} = 1$ . (3)  $G$  is equal to a constant plus a linear combination of the Bergman kernel functions  $K(z, a_1), K(z, a_2), \dots, K(z, a_n)$  and certain of their derivatives. (4)  $|G|^2 - 1$  is orthogonal to  $L_h^1$ , the class of harmonic functions in  $L^1$  of the disc.

*Proof.* To prove (i), assume  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . We shall first verify that  $S_\phi \equiv 0$  if and only if  $\phi \in \overline{(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))}^\perp$ . Suppose  $S_\phi \equiv 0$ . Then  $S_\phi f = 0$  for all  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Thus  $PJ(\phi f) = 0$  and hence  $\phi f \in \overline{(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))}^\perp$ , for all  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Since  $1 \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ ,

$\phi \in \overline{(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))}^\perp$ . Now suppose  $\phi \in \overline{(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))}^\perp$ . This implies  $\langle \phi, \bar{g} \rangle = 0$  for all  $g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Hence  $\langle \phi f, \bar{g} \rangle = \langle \phi, \bar{f}g \rangle = 0$  for all  $g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Thus  $\langle h_\phi f, \bar{g} \rangle = \langle \bar{P}(\phi f), \bar{g} \rangle = 0$  for all  $g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Thus  $h_\phi f = 0$  for all  $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Since  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  is dense in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , we obtain  $h_\phi \equiv 0$ . That is,  $S_\phi = Jh_\phi \equiv 0$ .

Now to prove (i), notice that  $S_\Phi \equiv 0$  if and only if  $S_{\phi_{jj}} \equiv 0$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . This is true if and only if  $\phi_{jj} \in \overline{(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))}^\perp$ . That is, if  $\Phi \in \overline{(L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))}^\perp$ .

Now we prove (ii). Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$ . Since  $L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}) = L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \oplus L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \oplus \dots \oplus L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , the operator  $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} & \dots & S_{1n} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} & \dots & S_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ S_{n1} & S_{n2} & \dots & S_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $S_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ ,  $1 \leq$

$i, j \leq n$ . Suppose  $T_{zI_{n \times n}}^* S = S T_{zI_{n \times n}}$ . This implies  $T_z^* S_{ij} = S_{ij} T_z$ . From [8], it follows that  $S_{ij} = S_{\psi_{ij}}$  for  $\psi_{ij} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Thus

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{\psi_{11}} & S_{\psi_{12}} & \dots & S_{\psi_{1n}} \\ S_{\psi_{21}} & S_{\psi_{22}} & \dots & S_{\psi_{2n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ S_{\psi_{n1}} & S_{\psi_{n2}} & \dots & S_{\psi_{nn}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is,  $S = S_\Psi$  where  $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{11} & \psi_{12} & \dots & \psi_{1n} \\ \psi_{21} & \psi_{22} & \dots & \psi_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \psi_{n1} & \psi_{n2} & \dots & \psi_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$ . Conversely, suppose

$S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}))$  is a little Hankel operator. That is,  $S = S_\Psi$  where  $\Psi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Let  $\Psi = (\psi_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . Then  $S_\Psi = (S_{\psi_{ij}})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . From [8], it follows that  $T_z^* S_{\psi_{ij}} = S_{\psi_{ij}} T_z$ . This implies  $T_{zI_{n \times n}}^* S_\Psi = S_\Psi T_{zI_{n \times n}}$ .

To prove (iii), let  $f \in \ker S_\Psi$ . Then  $S_\Psi T_{zI_{n \times n}} f = T_{zI_{n \times n}}^* S_\Psi f = 0$ . That is,  $T_{zI_{n \times n}} f \in \ker S_\Psi$ .

To prove (iv), we shall first verify that if  $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  then  $S_\psi^* = S_{\psi^+}$  where  $\psi^+(z) = \overline{\psi(\bar{z})}$ . Let  $f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_\psi^* f, g \rangle &= \langle f, S_\psi g \rangle \\ &= \langle f, PJ(\psi g) \rangle \\ &= \langle f, (J\psi)Jg \rangle \\ &= \langle J\psi f, Jg \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi^+ f, Jg \rangle \\ &= \langle J(\psi^+ f), g \rangle \\ &= \langle PJ(\psi^+ f), g \rangle \\ &= \langle S_{\psi^+} f, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $S_\psi^* = S_{\psi^+}$ . Now if  $\Psi = (\psi_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  then  $S_\Psi = (S_{\psi_{ij}})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . Then  $S_\Psi^* = (S_{\psi_{ij}}^*)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = (S_{\psi_{ij}^+})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = S_{\Psi^+}$ .

Now we prove (v). Notice that for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $\ker S_{\phi_{jj}}$  is an invariant subspace of  $T_z$ . If  $\ker S_{\phi_{jj}}$  can be expressed in terms of its common zero set, i.e., if  $\ker S_{\phi_{jj}} =$

$\{f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) : f = 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{b}_{jj}\}$ , then by [3],[4] and [9],  $\ker S_{\phi_{jj}} = G_{jj}L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \cap L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  for some inner functions  $G_{jj} \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  formed by the corresponding zeros  $\{b_{jj}^k\}_{k=1}^\infty, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $G$  be the inner function formed by the union of zeros of the functions  $G_{jj}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  counting multiplicities. It is not difficult to see that  $\ker S_\Phi = GL_a^{2,C^n}(\mathbb{D}) \cap L_a^{2,C^n}(\mathbb{D})$  as  $\ker S_\Phi$  is an invariant subspace of  $T_{zI_{n \times n}}$ .

To prove (vi), first we shall verify that if  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  and  $S_\phi$  is a finite rank little Hankel operator on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , then  $\ker S_\phi = GL_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  for some inner function  $G \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

Since  $S_\phi$  is a little Hankel operator on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , hence  $T_z^*S_\phi = S_\phi T_z$ . So  $\ker S_\phi$  is invariant under multiplication by  $z$  and  $\ker S_\phi$  has finite codimension since  $S_\phi$  is of finite rank. Let  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_j\}_{j=1}^N$  be the common zeroes (counting multiplicities) of functions in  $\ker S_\phi$  i.e.,  $\mathcal{Z}(\ker S_\phi) = \{a_j\}_{j=1}^N$ . Let  $G$  be the extremal function for the problem

$$\sup\{Re f^{(k)}(0) : f \in L_a^2, \|f\|_{L^2} \leq 1, f = 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{a}\},$$

where  $k$  is the multiplicity of the number of times zero appears in  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_j\}_{j=1}^N$  ( $k = 0$  if  $0 \notin \{a_j\}_{j=1}^N$ ). It is clear from [2],[3], [4] and [9] that  $G$  satisfies the conditions (1)-(4) and  $G$  vanishes precisely on  $\mathbf{a}$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  counting multiplicities. Moreover, for every function  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  that vanishes on  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_j\}_{j=1}^N$  there exists  $g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $f = Gg$ . Hence  $\ker S_\phi = GL_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

Now suppose  $\Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_{22} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \phi_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \phi_{jj} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$

and  $S_\Phi$  is a finite rank little Hankel operator on  $L_a^{2,C^n}(\mathbb{D})$ . Then

$$S_\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} S_{\phi_{11}} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & S_{\phi_{22}} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & S_{\phi_{nn}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and each } S_{\phi_{jj}}, 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ is a finite rank little}$$

Hankel operator on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . From the argument above, it follows that  $\ker S_{\phi_{jj}} = G_{jj}L_a^2(\mathbb{D}), 1 \leq j \leq n$  where  $G_{jj} \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  is an inner function and each  $G_{jj}$  vanishes on a finite set of points in  $\mathbb{D}, \|G_{jj}\|_{L^2} = 1$  and each  $G_{jj}$  is a linear combination of the Bergman kernels and some of their derivatives and  $|G_{jj}|^2 - 1$  is orthogonal to  $L_h^1$ . Let  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l\}$  be the union of the zeros of the functions  $G_{jj}, 1 \leq j \leq n$  counting multiplicities. Let  $G \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  be the inner function formed by the zeros  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_l$  taking multiplicities into account. It is not difficult to verify that  $\ker S_\Phi = GL_a^{2,C^n}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $G$  is formed by a linear combination of ( see [2],[3], [4] and [9]) the Bergman kernels and some of their derivatives and  $G$  satisfies the conditions (1)-(4). □

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $\Psi = (\psi_{ij}) \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  where  $\psi_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$  and  $S_\Psi$  is a finite rank little Hankel operator on  $L_a^{2,C^n}(\mathbb{D})$  then  $\Psi = \overline{\Phi} + \chi$  where  $\Phi = (\phi_{ij}), \phi_{ij} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D}), 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \phi_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$  and each  $\overline{\phi_{jj}}$  is a linear combination of*

the Bergman kernels and some of their derivatives and  $\chi = (\theta_{ij})$  where  $\theta_{ij} \in (\overline{L_a^2})^\perp \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\theta_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Psi = (\psi_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\psi_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$ , we have

$$S_\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} S_{\psi_{11}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & S_{\psi_{22}} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & S_{\psi_{nn}} \end{pmatrix}. \text{ The operator } S_\Psi \text{ is a finite rank little Hankel}$$

operator if and only if each  $S_{\psi_{jj}}$  is a finite rank little Hankel operator on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Now let  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Since for each  $j$ ,  $S_{\psi_{jj}}$  is a finite rank little Hankel operator on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , there exist inner functions  $G_{jj} \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $\ker S_{\psi_{jj}} = G_{jj}L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Thus  $\psi_{jj}G_{jj} \in (\overline{L_a^2})^\perp$ . So  $\langle \psi_{jj}G_{jj}, \bar{h} \rangle = 0$  for all  $h \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , that is,  $\langle G_{jj}h, \psi_{jj} \rangle = 0$  for all  $h \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and so  $\overline{\psi_{jj}} = \overline{\phi_{jj}} + \overline{\theta_{jj}}$  where  $\overline{\theta_{jj}} \in (\overline{L_a^2})^\perp$ , the orthogonal complement of  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  with respect to  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$  and  $\overline{\phi_{jj}} \in (G_{jj}L_a^2)^\perp$ , the orthogonal complement of  $G_{jj}L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  with respect to  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Suppose the function  $G_{jj}$  vanishes precisely at  $\mathbf{d}^j = \{d_1^j, d_2^j, \dots, d_{m_j}^j\}$ , a finite number of points in  $\mathbb{D}$  counting multiplicities. Since  $K_{d_1^j}, K_{d_2^j}, \dots, K_{d_{m_j}^j}$  and their derivatives (where if the point  $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$  occurs  $k$  times in  $\mathbf{d}^j$  then we include the functions  $(1 - \bar{\alpha}z)^{-2}, z(1 - \bar{\alpha}z)^{-3}, \dots, z^{k-1}(1 - \bar{\alpha}z)^{-k-1}$ ) form a basis for  $(G_{jj}L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))^\perp, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , hence  $\overline{\phi_{jj}}$  is a linear combination of the Bergman kernels and some of their derivatives and  $\overline{\theta_{jj}} \in (\overline{L_a^2(\mathbb{D})})^\perp \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  since  $\overline{\psi_{jj}}, \overline{\phi_{jj}} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Thus  $\Psi = \Phi + \chi$  where  $\Phi = (\phi_{jj}), \chi = (\theta_{jj})$  and  $\overline{\phi_{jj}}$  is a linear combination of the Bergman kernels and some of their derivatives and  $\theta_{jj} \in (\overline{L_a^2(\mathbb{D})})^\perp \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ .  $\square$

Now let  $\mathbf{b} = \{b_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be an infinite sequence of points in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} = I(\mathbf{b}) = \{f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) : f = 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{b}\}$ . Let  $G_{\mathbf{b}}$  be the solution of the extremal problem

$$\sup\{Re f^{(n)}(0) : f \in \mathcal{I}, \|f\|_{L^2} \leq 1\}, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $n$  is the number of times zero appears in the sequence  $\mathbf{b}$  (i.e., the functions in  $\mathcal{I}$  have a common zero of order  $n$  at the origin). The natural question that arises at this point is to see if it is possible to construct a little Hankel operator  $S_\Phi, \Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  whose kernel is  $G_{\mathbf{b}}L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D}) \cap L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$ . In the case that  $\mathbf{b} = \{b_j\}_{j=1}^N$  is a finite set of points in  $\mathbb{D}$ , it is possible to construct a little Hankel operator  $S_\Phi, \Phi \in L_{M_n}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $\ker S_\Phi = G_{\mathbf{b}}L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  as follows:

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{b} = (b_j)_{j=1}^N$  be a finite set of points in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\mathcal{I} = I(\mathbf{b}) = \{f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) : f = 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{b}\}$  and let  $G_{\mathbf{b}}$  be the solution of the extremal problem (3.1). Let*

$$\bar{\phi} = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\nu=0}^{m_j-1} c_{j\nu} \frac{\partial^\nu}{\partial \bar{b}_j^\nu} K_{b_j}(z),$$

where  $c_{j\nu} \neq 0$  for all  $j, \nu$  and  $m_j$  is the number of times  $b_j$  appears in  $\mathbf{b}$ . Then  $\ker S_\Phi = G_{\mathbf{b}}L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$  where  $\Phi = (\phi_{rs})_{r,s=1}^n$  and  $\phi_{rs} = \phi$  if  $r = s$  and 0, if  $r \neq s$ .

*Proof.* The set of vectors  $\{K_{b_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{m_1-1}}{\partial \bar{b}_1^{m_1-1}} K_{b_1}, \dots, K_{b_N}, \dots, \frac{\partial^{m_n-1}}{\partial \bar{b}_N^{m_n-1}} K_{b_N}\}$  forms a basis [9] for  $(G_{\mathbf{b}}L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))^\perp$ . By the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process we can get an orthonormal basis  $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^l$  for  $(G_{\mathbf{b}}L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))^\perp$ . If  $\bar{\phi} \in (G_{\mathbf{b}}L_a^2)^\perp$  then  $\langle \bar{\phi}, G_{\mathbf{b}}t \rangle = 0$  for all  $t \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , i.e.,  $\langle \bar{t}, \phi G_{\mathbf{b}} \rangle = 0$  for all  $t \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and so  $G_{\mathbf{b}} \in \ker S_\phi$ . Since  $\ker S_\phi$  is invariant under the operator of multiplication by  $z$  we have that

$$G_{\mathbf{b}}L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset \ker S_\phi. \quad (3.2)$$

Suppose  $f \in \ker S_\phi$ ; then  $\langle \phi f, \bar{h} \rangle = 0$  for all  $h \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , so in particular  $\langle \phi f, \overline{K_{b_j}} \rangle = 0$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Therefore,  $\langle \bar{\phi} f, K_{b_j} \rangle = 0$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Thus  $\overline{\phi(b_j)f(b_j)} = 0$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Since  $\phi(b_j) \neq 0$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , hence  $f(b_j) = 0$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Thus  $f \in \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $G_{\mathbf{b}}$  is the solution of the extremal problem (3.1) therefore,  $f \in G_{\mathbf{b}}L_a^2$ . Hence

$$\ker S_\phi \subset G_{\mathbf{b}}L_a^2. \quad (3.3)$$

From (3.2) and (3.3),  $\ker S_\phi = G_{\mathbf{b}}L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) = \mathcal{I}$ . Now let  $\Phi = (\phi_{rs})_{r,s=1}^n$  where  $\phi_{rs} = \phi$  if  $r = s$  and 0, if  $r \neq s$ . It is not difficult now to verify that  $\ker S_\Phi = G_{\mathbf{b}}L_a^{2, \mathbb{C}^n}(\mathbb{D})$ . □

## REFERENCES

1. S. Axler and P. Bourdon, *Finite co-dimensional invariant subspaces of Bergman spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **306** (1988), 805- 817.
2. N. Das, *The kernel of a Hankel operator on the Bergman space*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), 75-80.
3. P.L. Duren, D. Khavinson, H.S. Shapiro and C. Sundberg, *Contractive zero-divisors in Bergman spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **157** (1993), 37-56.
4. P.L. Duren, D. Khavinson, H.S. Shapiro and C. Sundberg, *Invariant subspaces in Bergman spaces and the biharmonic equation*, Michigan Math. J. **41** (1994), 247-259.
5. M. Engliš, *A note on Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces*, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae **29** (1988), 217-219.
6. M. Engliš, *Some density theorems for Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **40** (1990), 491-502.
7. M. Engliš, *Density of algebras generated by Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces*, Ark. Mat. **30** (1992), 227-243.
8. N.S. Faour, *A theorem of Nehari type*, Illinois J. Math. **35** (1991), 533-535.
9. H. Hedenmalm, *A factorization theorem for square area-integrable analytic functions*, J. Reine. Angew. Math. **422** (1991), 45-68.
10. B. Korenblum and M. Stessin *On Toeplitz-invariant subspaces of the Bergman space*, J. Funct. Anal. **111** (1993), 76-96.
11. S.G. Krantz, *Function Theory of Several Complex Variables*, John Wiley, New York, 1982.
12. K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcell Dekker Inc. **139**, New York and Basel, 1990.

P.G. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UTKAL UNIVERSITY, VANIVIHAR, BHUBANESWAR, 751004,, ODISHA, INDIA

*E-mail address:* namitadas440@yahoo.co.in