



## ON THE STABILITY OF THE QUASI-LINEAR IMPLICIT EQUATIONS IN HILBERT SPACES

MEHDI BENABDALLAH<sup>1</sup> AND MOHAMED HARIRI<sup>2\*</sup>

Communicated by J. Brzdęk

**ABSTRACT.** We use the generalized theorem of Liapounov to obtain some necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of the stationary implicit equation

$$Ax'(t) = Bx(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are bounded operators in Hilbert spaces. The achieved results can be applied to the stability for the quasi-linear implicit equation

$$Ax'(t) = Bx(t) + \theta(t, x(t)), \quad t \geq 0.$$

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the abstract implicit differential equation

$$Ax'(t) = Bx(t) + \theta(t, x(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are two linear bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\theta(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a continuous function from  $[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ . The operator  $A$  is not necessarily invertible.

The equation (1.1) has been considered in various forms by many authors as Favini and Yagi [5], Rutkas [6], Vlasenko [7] and others.

In the present paper, we study the stationary implicit equation

$$Ax'(t) = Bx(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.2)$$

and also the quasi-linear implicit equation (1.1), with the initial condition

$$x(0) = x_0.$$

*Date:* Received: 01 May 2018 ; Revised: 10 October 2018; Accepted: 29 November 2018.

\* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34D20; Secondary 47A10, 34K32.

*Key words and phrases.* Exponential stability, operator theory, implicit equations.

In [2] the authors obtained results concerning the stability of the degenerate difference systems that is similar to (1.1).

Some practical examples of (1.1) can be found in [5, 6, 7]. The organization of this paper is as follows: in Section 2, we introduce some preliminaries and expand the famous Liapounov general theorem [4], which has an important role in this paper. In section 3, we present our main results concerning the exponential stability of the solution for the quasi-linear implicit equation (1.1).

We use the following definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** The equation (1.2) is called exponentially stable, if there exist two constants  $M > 0$  and  $\alpha < 0$  such that, for any solution  $x(t)$ , we have

$$\|x(t)\| \leq Me^{\alpha t} \|x_0\| \quad \text{for any } t \geq 0. \quad (1.3)$$

**Definition 1.2.** The equation (1.2) is said to be well-posed, if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) for any solution  $x(\cdot)$  such that  $x(0) = x_0 = 0$ , then  $x(t) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ;
- (ii) it generates an evolution semigroup of bounded operators  $S(t) : x_0 \mapsto x(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

The operators  $S(t)$  are defined on the set  $D_0 = \{x_0\}$  of the admissible initial vectors  $x_0$ .

**Definition 1.3** (see [6]). The complex number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is called a regular value of the pencil  $\lambda A - B$ , if the resolvent  $(\lambda A - B)^{-1}$  exists and is bounded. The set of all regular values is denoted by  $\rho(A, B)$  and its complement  $\sigma(A, B) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(A, B)$  is called the spectrum of the pencil  $\lambda A - B$ . The set of all eigenvalues of the pencil  $\lambda A - B$  is denoted by

$$\sigma_p(A, B) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \exists v \neq 0; (\lambda A - B)v = 0\}.$$

## 2. STATIONARY IMPLICIT EQUATION

For the stationary implicit equation (1.2), we can obtain the following criterion for the exponential stability .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The equation (1.2) is exponentially stable if and only if it is well-posed.*

*Proof.* Suppose that (1.2) is exponentially stable. Then, it has a unique solution  $x(t)$ . In fact, if  $x_0 = 0$ , then by (1.3), we obtain  $\|x(t)\| \leq 0$ , and consequently  $x(t) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\|x(t)\| = \|S(t)x_0\| \leq Me^{\alpha t} \|x_0\|.$$

It means that the operator  $S(t)$  is bounded and  $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{\alpha t}$ . So, (1.2) is well-posed. Conversely, if (1.2) is well-posed, then one obtains

$$\omega = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|S(t)\|}{t} < \infty$$

(see [4, p. 26]), where  $\omega$  is the strict Liapounov exponent of  $\Phi(t) = \|S(t)\|$ . More precisely,  $\omega$  is the greatest lower bound of the set of real numbers  $\varrho$  for which

there exists a positive constant  $N_\rho$  (see [3, pp. 8–9]) such that

$$\Phi(t) = \|S(t)\| \leq N_\rho e^{\omega t} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \omega \leq \rho < 0.$$

Hence

$$\|x(t)\| = \|S(t)x_0\| \leq N_\rho e^{\omega t} \|x_0\|,$$

which achieves the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *If (1.2) is exponentially stable, then all eigenvalues of the pencil  $\lambda A - B$  are in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \leq \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is the constant defined in (1.3).*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists an eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_p(A, B)$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda_0 > \alpha$ . Then  $(\lambda_0 A - B)v = 0$ , where  $v$  is the corresponding eigenvector. Consequently,  $y(t) = e^{\lambda_0 t} v$  is a solution of (1.2) verifying the condition  $y(0) = v$ , and we have

$$\|y(t)\| = \|e^{\lambda_0 t} v\| = e^{(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_0)t} \|v\| > e^{\alpha t} \|y(0)\|.$$

So, the solution  $y(t)$  does not satisfy (1.3) and consequently (1.2) is not exponentially stable.  $\square$

*Remark 2.3.* If (1.2) is exponentially stable, then all the eigenvalues of the pencil  $\lambda A - B$  are inside the left half-plane, that is

$$\sigma_p(A, B) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\lambda < 0\},$$

since  $\alpha < 0$ , where  $\alpha$  is given by (1.3).

We can now extend the generalized Liapounov theorem [4] for the spectrum of the bounded operator  $T$ , to the spectrum of the pencil  $\lambda A - B$  of the bounded operators  $A$  and  $B$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , using the spectral theory of the pencil of operators and an appropriate conformal mapping as follows.

**Theorem 2.4.** *A necessary condition, for the spectrum  $\sigma(A, B)$  of the pencil  $\lambda A - B$  to lie in the interior of the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda < \alpha$  ( $\alpha < 0$ ), is that, for any uniformly positive operator  $U \gg 0$ <sup>1</sup>, there exists an operator  $W \gg 0$  such that*

$$A^*WB + B^*WA - 2\alpha A^*WA = -2U, \quad (2.1)$$

*and a sufficient condition is that  $\alpha + 1$  is a regular value of the pencil  $\lambda A - B$  and there exists an operator  $W \gg 0$  such that*

$$A^*WB + B^*WA - 2\alpha A^*WA \ll 0. \quad (2.2)$$

*Proof.* Necessary condition. Suppose that  $\sigma(A, B) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}\lambda < \alpha\}$ . Then,  $(\alpha + 1) \in \rho(A, B)$  and the operator  $T = [(\alpha - 1)A - B][(\alpha + 1)A - B]^{-1}$  is well defined and bounded. Now, using the conformal mapping  $z = \varphi(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - \alpha + 1}{\lambda - \alpha - 1}$  which transforms the vertical line  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda = \alpha$  into the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ , we obtain

<sup>1</sup>It means that  $U^* = U$  and that  $\langle Ux, x \rangle > 0$  for all  $x$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
zI - T &= \left(\frac{\lambda - \alpha + 1}{\lambda - \alpha - 1}\right)[(\alpha + 1)A - B][(\alpha + 1)A - B]^{-1} \\
&\quad - [(\alpha - 1)A - B][(\alpha + 1)A - B]^{-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{(\lambda - \alpha - 1)}\{(\lambda - \alpha + 1)[(\alpha + 1)A - B] \\
&\quad - (\lambda - \alpha - 1)[(\alpha - 1)A - B]\}[(\alpha + 1)A - B]^{-1} \\
&= \frac{2}{(\lambda - \alpha - 1)}(\lambda A - B)[(\alpha + 1)A - B]^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, the operator  $zI - T$  is invertible if and only if the pencil  $\lambda A - B$  is also invertible. Therefore,  $\rho(T) = \rho(I, T) = \varphi(\rho(A, B))$ .

Passing to the complement, we conclude that  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(I, T) = \varphi(\sigma(A, B))$ . Consequently  $\sigma(T)$  is in the unit disk. Using [2, Theorem 2], we conclude that there exists an operator  $W \gg 0$  such that

$$T^*WT - W = -G \quad \text{for all } G \gg 0, \quad (2.3)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{[(\alpha - 1)A - B][(\alpha + 1)A - B]^{-1}\}^*W \\
&\quad \{[(\alpha - 1)A - B][(\alpha + 1)A - B]^{-1}\} - W = -G \\
&\iff [(\alpha + 1)A^* - B^*]^{-1}[(\alpha - 1)A^* - B^*]W \\
&\quad [(\alpha - 1)A - B][(\alpha + 1)A - B]^{-1} - W = -G \\
&\iff [(\alpha - 1)A^* - B^*]W[(\alpha - 1)A - B] \\
&\quad - [(\alpha + 1)A^* - B^*]W[(\alpha + 1)A - B] \\
&\quad = -[(\alpha + 1)A^* - B^*]G[(\alpha + 1)A - B] \\
&\iff (2A^*WB + 2B^*WA - 4\alpha A^*WA) \\
&\quad = -[(\alpha + 1)A^* - B^*]G[(\alpha + 1)A - B] \\
&\iff A^*WB + B^*WA - 2\alpha A^*WA \\
&\quad = -\frac{1}{2}[(\alpha + 1)A^* - B^*]G[(\alpha + 1)A - B] \\
&\iff A^*WB + B^*WA - 2\alpha A^*WA = -2U,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$U = \frac{1}{4}[(\alpha + 1)A^* - B^*]G[(\alpha + 1)A - B] \gg 0.$$

In fact,

$$U^* = \frac{1}{4}[(\alpha + 1)A^* - B^*]G[(\alpha + 1)A - B] = U,$$

and for each  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ux, x \rangle &= \frac{1}{4}\langle [(\alpha + 1)A^* - B^*]G[(\alpha + 1)A - B]x, x \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\langle G[(\alpha + 1)A - B]x, [(\alpha + 1)A - B]x \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\langle Gy, y \rangle \geq \frac{k}{4}\|y\|^2, \quad y = [(\alpha + 1)A - B]x, \end{aligned}$$

where  $k$  is a positive constant. But,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|^2 &= \|[ (\alpha + 1)A - B ]^{-1}y\|^2 \\ &\leq \|[ (\alpha + 1)A - B ]^{-1}\|^2 \|y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|y\|^2 \geq \frac{\|x\|^2}{\|[ (\alpha + 1)A - B ]^{-1}\|^2}.$$

Thus

$$\langle Ux, x \rangle \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{k}{\|[ (\alpha + 1)A - B ]^{-1}\|^2} \|x\|^2 > 0.$$

Consequently  $U \gg 0$ , and (2.2) holds.

Sufficient condition. If  $\alpha + 1 \in \rho(A, B)$  is a regular value for the pencil  $\lambda A - B$ , then the operator  $T = [(\alpha - 1)A - B][(\alpha + 1)A - B]^{-1}$  is bounded and (2.2) becomes

$$A^*WB + B^*WA - 2\alpha A^*WA = -\frac{1}{2}[(\alpha + 1)A^* - B^*]G[(\alpha + 1)A - B] \ll 0.$$

Therefore,  $G = W - T^*WT \gg 0$  (see (2.3)). Using again [2, Theorem 2], the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  will be inside the unit disk. We conclude that  $\sigma(A, B) = \varphi^{-1}(\sigma(T)) \subset \{\lambda : \operatorname{Re}\lambda < \alpha\}$ , where  $\lambda = \varphi^{-1}(z) = \alpha + \frac{z+1}{z-1}$  is a conformal mapping and Theorem 2.4 is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *If (2.1) is satisfied for the pair of the positive uniform operators  $(W, U)$ , then  $\lambda = \alpha + 1$  is not an eigenvalue for the pencil  $\lambda A - B$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\lambda = \alpha + 1$  is an eigenvalue. We denote by  $v \neq 0$  the corresponding eigenvector. Then,  $[(\alpha + 1)A - B]v = 0$  or  $(\alpha + 1)Av = Bv$ , and in the two cases the scalar product becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Uv, v \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle (A^*WB + B^*WA - 2\alpha A^*WA)v, v \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle A^*WBv, v \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle B^*WAv, v \rangle + \langle \alpha A^*WAv, v \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle WBv, Av \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle WAv, Bv \rangle + \alpha \langle WAv, Av \rangle \\ &= -\langle WAv, Av \rangle < 0. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain a contradiction, with the hypothesis  $U \gg 0$ , since  $W \gg 0$ . Consequently Theorem 2.5 is proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *In the case of a finite-dimensional space  $\mathcal{H}$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *The equation (1.2) is exponentially stable;*
- (b)  $\sigma(A, B) = \sigma_p(A, B) \subset \{\lambda : \operatorname{Re} \lambda < \alpha\}$ ;
- (c) *There exists a positive definite matrix  $W \gg 0$  such that*

$$A^*WB + B^*WA - 2\alpha A^*WA \ll 0.$$

### 3. QUASI-LINEAR IMPLICIT EQUATION

In this section, we give some stability conditions of the quasi-linear implicit equation of the form (1.1), using the following variation of constants method (Lemma 3.1) and the Gronwall–Bellman inequality (Lemma 3.2).

Remember that  $D_0 = \{x(0)\}$  denotes the initial manifold subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  for the stationary equation (1.2).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that*

- (i) *the restriction operator  $A_0 = A|_{D_0}$  on  $D_0$  is invertible;<sup>2</sup>*
- (ii) *for any  $\tau \geq 0$ , the space  $\theta(\tau, x(\tau))$  is in the domain of  $A_0$  and the function  $S(t - \tau)A_0^{-1}\theta(\tau, x(\tau))$  is integrable (with respect to  $\tau$ ), where  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is the semigroup of the operators for (1.2).*

*Then the quasi-linear equation (1.1) is equivalent to the integral equation*

$$x(t) = S(t)x_0 + \int_0^t S(t - \tau)A_0^{-1}\theta(\tau, x(\tau))d\tau. \quad (3.1)$$

**Lemma 3.2** (Gronwall–Bellman). *(see [1]). If*

$$g(t) \leq c + \int_0^t g(\tau)h(\tau)d\tau \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

*where  $h$  is a continuous positive real function and  $c > 0$  is an arbitrary constant, then*

$$g(t) \leq c \exp \left[ \int_0^t h(\tau)d\tau \right].$$

For the quasi-linear equation (1.1), we have the next result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that*

- (i) *the equation (1.2) is well-posed;*
- (ii) *the quasi-linear operator  $\theta(t, x(t))$ , for all  $t \geq 0$ , transforms  $D_0$  into  $AD_0$  such that*

$$\int_0^\infty \|A_0^{-1}\theta(t, x(t))\|dt < \infty.$$

*Then the quasi-linear equation (1.1) is exponentially stable .*

---

<sup>2</sup>In particular, if (1.2) is well-posed, then  $A_0$  is invertible.

*Proof.* Thanks to Lemma 3.1, equation (1.1) is equivalent to (3.1). According to the hypothesis (i), we have

$$\|S(t)x_0\| \leq Me^{\alpha t}\|x_0\|,$$

and

$$\|S(t-\tau)A_0^{-1}\theta(\tau, x(\tau))\| \leq Me^{\alpha(t-\tau)}\|A_0^{-1}\theta(\tau, x(\tau))\|.$$

Considering (i) and (ii), we have  $A_0^{-1}\theta(\tau, x(\tau)) \in D_0$ . Using (3.1), we obtain

$$\|x(t)\| \leq Me^{\alpha t}\|x_0\| + M \int_0^t e^{\alpha(t-\tau)}\|A_0^{-1}\theta(\tau, x(\tau))\| \|x(\tau)\| d\tau$$

or

$$e^{-\alpha t}\|x(t)\| \leq M\|x_0\| + M \int_0^t e^{-\alpha\tau}\|A_0^{-1}\theta(\tau, x(\tau))\| \|x(\tau)\| d\tau.$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 with  $g(t) = e^{-\alpha t}\|x(t)\|$ ,  $h(\tau) = M\|A_0^{-1}\theta(\tau, x(\tau))\|$ , and  $c = M\|x_0\|$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\alpha t}\|x(t)\| &\leq M\|x_0\| \exp \left[ M \int_0^t \|A_0^{-1}\theta(\tau, x(\tau))\| d\tau \right] \\ &\leq M\|x_0\| \exp \left[ M \int_0^\infty \|A_0^{-1}\theta(\tau, x(\tau))\| d\tau \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|x(t)\| \leq M_1 e^{\alpha t}\|x_0\|,$$

where

$$M_1 = M \exp \left[ M \int_0^\infty \|A_0^{-1}\theta(\tau, x(\tau))\| d\tau \right] < \infty.$$

□

**Corollary 3.4.** *If the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.3 are fulfilled and (1.2) is exponentially stable, then the quasi-linear equation (1.1) is also exponentially stable.*

*Remark 3.5.* Theorem 3.3 represents the generalization of the Dini–Hukuhara theorem [1], where  $A \equiv I$ ,  $B \equiv T$ ,  $\theta(t, x(t)) \equiv T(t)\{x(t)\}$ , and  $\alpha = 0$ .

Finally we provide the following example to illustrate our main result.

**Example 3.6.** Consider (1.1) in the finite-dimensional spaces:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta(t, x(t)) \equiv e^{-t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

In our case

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : b = 0\}, & AD_0 &= \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : a = b\}, \\ \lambda A - B &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + 1 & 0 \\ \lambda + 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & (\lambda A - B)^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\lambda + 1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\lambda - 1 & \lambda + 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\theta(t, x(t)) : D_0 \rightarrow AD_0$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and  $A_0$  is invertible.

Since  $\sigma(A, B) = \sigma_p(A, B) = \{-1\}$ , then (1.2) is exponentially stable (see Corollary 2.6). From Corollary 3.4, we conclude that the corresponding quasi-linear equation (1.1) is also exponentially stable as far as,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \|A_0^{-1}\theta(t, x(t))\|dt &\leq \|A_0^{-1}\| \int_0^\infty \|\theta(t, x(t))\|dt \\ &= \|A_0^{-1}\| \int_0^\infty e^{-t}dt \\ &= \|A_0^{-1}\| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to express their gratitude to the anonymous referees for their comments and suggestions that improve the last version of the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Bellman and K.L. Cooke, *Differential-Difference Equations, Vol 6, Academic Press., London, 1963.*
2. M. Benabdallah, A.G. Rutkas and A.A. Soloviev, On the stability of degenerate difference systems in Banach spaces, *J. Sov. Math.* **57** (1991) 3435–3439.
3. P.L. Butzer and H. Berens, *Semigroups of Operators and Approximations*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1967.
4. J.L. Daleckiĭ and M.G. Krein, *Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Space*, Amer. Math. Soci. Providence, RI, 1975.
5. A. Favini and A. Yagi, *Degenerate Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York-Basel-Hong Kong, 1999.
6. A.G. Rutkas, *Spectral methods for studying degenerate differential-operator equations*, *J. Math. Sci.* **144** (2007), no. 4, 4246–4263.
7. L.A. Vlasenko, Evolutionary models with implicit and degenerate differential equations, *Dnepropetrovsk*, no. **4885**, (Russian), 2006.

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATH AND COMPUTER, USTORAN, 31000, ALGERIA

*E-mail address:* mehdibufarid@yahoo.fr; mehdi.benabdallah@univ-usto.dz

<sup>2</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DJILLALI LIABES, SIDI BEL-ABBES, 22000, ALGERIA.

*E-mail address:* haririmohamed22@yahoo.fr; mohamed.hariri@univ-sba.dz