



INCLUSIONS AND COINCIDENCES FOR MULTIPLE COHEN POSITIVE STRONGLY p -SUMMING m -LINEAR OPERATORS

HALIMA HAMDI¹, AMAR BELACEL¹ AND AMAR BOUGOUTAIA^{2*}

Communicated by A.M. Peralta

ABSTRACT. We compare a new class of multiple Cohen positive strongly p -summing multilinear operators along with different classes of positive multilinear p -summability and investigate a duality relationship in terms of the tensor norm.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The well-known p -summing linear operators, introduced by Pietsch, knew many generalizations to the multilinear case [9–13]. In parallel, Bu and Labuschagne [5] generalized this notion for the positive multilinear operators. As a prototype of those generalizations, we attempt to set out a new generalization of the concept of Cohen positive strongly p -summing [1, 3, 6]. In this work, we introduce the new class of multiple Cohen positive strongly p -summing operators and compare it with the class of Cohen positive strongly p -summing m -linear operators [3] and positive multiple p -summing m -linear operators [5], by giving a generalization to Cohen’s theorems [7], as well as, investigating a relationship with the class multiple Cohen positive p -nuclear operators.

Starting by fixing notations, throughout this paper, X, X_1, \dots, X_m, Y will be Banach spaces and E, E_1, \dots, E_m, F, G will be Banach lattices $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$ denote the Banach space of all continuous m -linear operators from X_1, \dots, X_m to Y . If $Y = \mathbb{K}$, then we write $\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_m)$. In the case when $X_1 = \dots = X_m = X$, we simply write $\mathcal{L}(^m X; Y)$. For a Banach space X ,

Date: Received: 29 December 2022; Revised: 24 May 2023; Accepted: 15 June 2023.

*Corresponding author.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A07; Secondary 46A20, 46B42, 47B10, 47B65.

Key words and phrases. Positive p -summing operators, Banach lattice, positive strongly p -summing operators, multiple Cohen strongly summing operators, tensor norm.

X^* will denote its topological dual, and B_X will denote its closed unit ball. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let p^* be its conjugate; that is, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^*} = 1$.

Let E be a Banach lattice. We denote by E^+ the positive cone $\{x \in E, x \geq 0\}$. For $x \in E$, let $x^+ := \sup\{x, 0\}$ and $x^- := \sup\{-x, 0\}$ be the positive part and the negative part of x , respectively.

For any $x \in E$, we have

$$x = x^+ - x^- \quad \text{and} \quad |x| = x^+ + x^-.$$

We denote by $\ell_p^n(X)$ the space of all finite sequences $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ in X with the norm

$$\|(x_i)_{i=1}^n\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and by $\ell_{p,weak}^n(X)$, the space of all finite sequences $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ in X with the norm

$$w_p((x_i)_{i=1}^n) = \|(x_i)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{p,weak}^n(X)} = \sup_{\varphi \in B_{X^*}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x_i, \varphi \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Then $\ell_{p,weak}^n(X)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm w_p . Consider the case where X is substituted by a Banach lattice E , and define

$$\ell_{p,|weak|}^n(E) := \{(x_i)_{i=1}^n : (|x_i|)_{i=1}^n \in \ell_{p,weak}^n(E)\},$$

and

$$\|(x_i)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{p,|weak|}^n(E)} = w_p((|x_i|)_{i=1}^n).$$

Let $B_{E^*}^+ := B_{E^*} \cap E^{*+}$. If $x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 0$, then

$$\|(x_i)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{p,|weak|}^n(E)} = \sup_{\xi \in B_{E^*}^+} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, \xi \rangle^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|(x_i)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{p,weak}^n(E)}. \quad (1.1)$$

If $1 < p < \infty$, then we denote by $\ell_p(F, \mathbb{N}^m)$ the vector space of all families $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^m}$ of elements such that

$$\|(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^m}\|_p = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^m} \|y_j\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty,$$

and by $\ell_{p,weak}(F, \mathbb{N}^m)$ the vector space of all families $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^m}$ of elements such that

$$\|(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}^m}\|_{\ell_{p,weak}(F, \mathbb{N}^m)} = \sup_{\phi \in B_{F^*}} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^m} |\langle \phi, y_j \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty.$$

We observe that $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\ell_{p,weak}(F, \mathbb{N}^m)}$ are norms on $\ell_p(F, \mathbb{N}^m)$ and $\ell_{p,weak}(F, \mathbb{N}^m)$, respectively, and an element of \mathbb{N}^m is represented by (j_1, \dots, j_m) . From now on, to avoid encumbered notations we denote $\ell_p(F)$ instead of $\ell_p(F, \mathbb{N}^m)$ and by $\ell_{p,weak}(F)$ instead of $\ell_{p,weak}(F, \mathbb{N}^m)$.

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let $\lambda > 1$. The Banach space X is said to be an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ -space if every finite-dimensional subspace Y of X is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace Z of X for which there is an isomorphism $v : Z \rightarrow \ell_p^{dim Z}$ with $\|v\| \|v^{-1}\| < \lambda$. We say that X is an \mathcal{L}_p -space if it is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ -space for some $\lambda > 1$ (see [8]).

We recall the definition of finite type operators. [[9]] An m -linear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$ is said to be finite type if it is generated by mappings of the form

$$T_{y \otimes_{j=1}^m x_j^*} = x_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes x_m^* \otimes y : (x^1, \dots, x^m) \rightarrow x_1^*(x^1) \dots x_m^*(x^m)y$$

for some nonzero $x_j^* \in X_j^*$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$) and $y \in Y$. The vector space of all m -linear operators of finite type is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_f(X_1, \dots, X_m; Y)$. We will also need in what follows some definitions of positive summing linear and multilinear operators. [[2]] Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. An operator $T : E \rightarrow X$ is said to be positive p -summing, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$, the following inequality holds:

$$\|T(x_i)_{i=1}^n\|_p \leq C \|(x_i)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{p,|weak|}^n(E)}. \tag{1.2}$$

Also, for $p = \infty$,

$$\sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|T(x_i)\| \leq C \|(x_i)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{\infty,|weak|}^n(E)}.$$

We denote by $\Pi_p^+(E; X)$, the space of positive p -summing operators from E into X . Moreover, $\Pi_p^+(E, X)$ becomes a Banach space with norm $\pi_p^+(\cdot)$ given by the infimum of the constants $C > 0$ that verify the inequality (1.2). We have $\Pi_\infty^+(E; X) = \mathcal{L}(E; X)$. [[3]] Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. An m -linear operator $T : X_1 \times \dots \times X_m \rightarrow F$ is a Cohen positive strongly p -summing multilinear operator, if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $x_1^j, \dots, x_n^j \in X_j, 1 \leq j \leq m$, and any $y_1^*, \dots, y_n^* \in F^*$

$$\|\langle T(x_i^1, \dots, x_i^m), y_i^* \rangle\|_{\ell_1^n} \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \|x_i^j\|_{X_j}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|(y_i^*)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{p^*,|weak|}^n(F^*)}. \tag{1.3}$$

Moreover, the class of all Cohen positive strongly p -summing m -linear operators from $X_1 \times \dots \times X_m$ into F is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_p^{m+}(X_1, \dots, X_m; F)$. This space is a Banach space with the norm $d_p^{m+}(\cdot)$, which is the smallest constant C such that the inequality (1.3) holds. [[5]] An m -linear operator $T : E_1 \times \dots \times E_m \rightarrow Y$ is called positive multiple p -summing if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for every choice of finite sequences $(x_i^j)_{i=1}^{n_j} \subseteq E_j^+; 1 \leq j \leq m$, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^{n_1, \dots, n_m} \|T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m)\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq K \prod_{j=1}^m \|(x_i^j)_{i=1}^{n_j}\|_{\ell_{p,weak}^m(E_j)}. \tag{1.4}$$

In this case, we define the positive multiple p -summing norm of T by

$$\Lambda_p(T) = \inf \{K : K \text{ verifies the inequality 1.4}\}.$$

It is easily verified that the class $\Lambda_p^{mult}(E_1, \dots, E_m; Y)$ of positive multiple p -summing m -linear operators, with its associated norm Λ_p , is a Banach space.

Taking the advantage of the definition of Cohen positive p -nuclear m -linear operators initiated by authors in [4], we define similarly the multiple Cohen positive p -nuclear operators as follows. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, an m -linear operator $T : E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m \rightarrow F$ is called multiple Cohen positive p -nuclear if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $(x_{i_j}^j)_{i_j=1}^n \subset E_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$) and any $y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \in F^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\langle T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n\|_{\ell_1^n} \\ & \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \| (x_i^j)_{i=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p, |weak|}^n(E_j)} \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, |weak|}^n(F^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the class of all multiple Cohen positive p -nuclear operators from $E_1 \times \cdots \times E_m$ into F , is denoted $\mathcal{N}_p^{mult+}(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$. It is a Banach space with the norm $n_p^{mult+}(\cdot)$, which is the smallest constant C such that the above inequality holds.

2. MULTIPLE COHEN POSITIVE STRONGLY p -SUMMING OPERATORS

In this section, we give a new notion of multiple Cohen positive strongly p -summing operators, as a prototype of the multiple Cohen strongly summing operators initiated by Campos in [6] and motivated by Matos in his famous paper “Fully absolutely summing and Hilbert-Schmidt multilinear mappings” [9], as well as studying inclusions and coincidences with some known spaces.

All along this section, the Banach lattice F will be finite-dimensional. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. An m -linear operator $T : X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m \rightarrow F$ is a multiple Cohen positive strongly p -summing m -linear operator, if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \in F^{*+}$ and any $x_{i_j}^j \in X_j$ such that $1 \leq j \leq m$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq i_j \leq n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \\ & \leq C \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \| (x_i^j)_{i=1}^n \|_p \right) \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, weak}^n(F^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

The class of all multiple Cohen positive strongly p -summing m -linear operators from $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$ into F is a Banach space denoted by $\mathcal{D}_p^{mult+}(X_1, \dots, X_m; F)$, with the norm $d_p^{mult+}(\cdot)$ given by the infimum of constants C verifying the above inequality. The next result is a characterization to the class of multiple Cohen positive strongly p -summing operators, which we will use mostly in Section 4. Let $T : X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m \rightarrow F$. Then T is a multiple Cohen positive strongly p -summing m -linear operator if and only if there exists a constant $K > 0$ such

that the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \\ & \leq K \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \| (x_i^j)_{i=1}^n \|_p \right) \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, |weak|}^n(F^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \in F^*$ and any $x_{i_j}^j \in X_j$ such that $1 \leq j \leq m$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $1 \leq i_j \leq n$.

Proof. For the sufficiency, letting $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \in F^{*+}$, and $x_{i_j}^j \in X_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $1 \leq i_j \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \\ & = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^{*+} - y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^{*-} \rangle| \\ & \leq \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^{*+} \rangle| \\ & \quad + \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^{*-} \rangle| \\ & \leq K \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \| (x_i^j)_{i=1}^n \|_p \right) \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^{*+})_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, weak}^n(F^*)} \\ & \quad + K \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \| (x_i^j)_{i=1}^n \|_p \right) \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^{*-})_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, weak}^n(F^*)} \\ & \leq 2K \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \| (x_i^j)_{i=1}^n \|_p \right) \| (|y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*|)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, weak}^n(F^*)} \\ & \leq 2K \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \| (x_i^j)_{i=1}^n \|_p \right) \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, |weak|}^n(F^*)} \\ & = C \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \| (x_i^j)_{i=1}^n \|_p \right) \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, |weak|}^n(F^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

The necessity follows from formula (1.1). \square

Every finite type m -linear operator from $X_1 \times \dots \times X_m$ into the finite-dimensional Banach lattice F , is a multiple Cohen positive strongly p -summing m -linear operator. Indeed, letting $T = \phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_m \otimes b$ with $\phi_1 \in X_1^*, \dots, \phi_m \in X_m^*$ and $b \in F$ and letting $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \in F^{*+}$ and $x_{i_j}^j \in X_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, $1 \leq i \leq n$

and $1 \leq i_j \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle \phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_m \otimes b(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \\
 & \leq \|\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_m \otimes b(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m)\| \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \| \\
 & \leq \|(\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_m \otimes b(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m))_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n\|_p \cdot \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{p^*} \\
 & \leq \|b\| \prod_{j=1}^m \|\phi_j(x_{i_j}^j)_{i_j=1}^n\|_p \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{p^*} \\
 & \leq \|b\| \prod_{j=1}^m \|\phi_j(x_{i_j}^j)_{i_j=1}^n\|_p \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, \text{weak}}^n(F^*)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $d_p^{\text{mult}+}(\phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_m \otimes b) \leq \|b\| \|\phi_1\| \cdots \|\phi_m\|$. It was proved in [6, Proposition 4.4] that every Cohen strongly p -summing multilinear operator is multiple Cohen strongly p -summing. By using [3, Theorem 2.5] instead of [6, Theorem 3.8] in the proof giving in [6] and making the necessary adaptations, we obtain the following result. Every Cohen positive strongly p -summing m -linear operator is multiple Cohen positive strongly p -summing m -linear operator and

$$d_p^{\text{mult}+}(\cdot) \leq d_p^m(\cdot).$$

Next, we investigate a composition relationship for our class of operators. Let $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$ with $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ and let D_1, \dots, D_m be Banach lattices. If $S \in \mathcal{D}_p^{\text{mult}+}(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$ and $T_j \in \Pi_q^+(D_j, E_j)$ with $1 \leq j \leq m$, then $S \circ (T_1, \dots, T_m) \in \mathcal{N}_r^{\text{mult}+}(D_1, \dots, D_m; F)$.

Proof. Take $T_j \in \Pi_q^+(D_j, E_j)$ with $1 \leq j \leq m$. From the domination theorem for positive summing operators [1, Theorem 3.3], there is $\mu_j \in B_{D_j^*}^+$ such that for every $x \in D_j$, we have

$$\|T_j(x)\| \leq \pi_q^+(T_j) \left(\int_{B_{D_j^*}^+} |\phi(x)|^q d\mu_j(\phi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

We take

$$\rho_i^j = \left(\int_{B_{D_j^*}^+} |\phi(x_i^j)|^r d\mu_j(\phi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let x_i^j in D_j . Without loss of generality, we may consider $T_j(x_i^j) \neq 0$, for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $\rho_i^j > 0$, and we can define $z_i^j = \frac{x_i^j}{\rho_i^j}$. Now, for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{K}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{p^*} < 1$, since $\frac{1}{r^*} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$,

we can use the Hölder's inequality in order to write

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(a_i z_i^j) \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{\frac{p^*}{r^*}} |a_i|^{\frac{p^*}{q}} \frac{1}{\rho_i^j} |\phi(x_i^j)|^{\frac{r}{q}} |\phi(x_i^j)|^{\frac{r}{p}} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{r^*}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{p^*} \frac{1}{(\rho_i^j)^q} |\phi(x_i^j)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\phi(x_i^j)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{p^*} \frac{1}{(\rho_i^j)^q} |\phi(x_i^j)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\phi(x_i^j)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i T_j(z_i^j) \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n T_j(a_i z_i^j) \right\| \\
&\leq \pi_q^+(T_j) \left(\int_{B_{D_j}^+} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(a_i z_i^j) \right|^q d\mu_j(\phi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq \pi_q^+(T_j) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^{p^*} \frac{1}{(\rho_i^j)^q} \int_{B_{D_j}^+} |\phi(x_i^j)|^r d\mu_j(\phi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\|(x_i^j)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{r,weak}^n} \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \\
&\leq \pi_q^+(T_j) \left(\|(x_i^j)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{r,weak}^n} \right)^{\frac{r}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, Krivine's calculus implies

$$\|(T_j(z_i^j))_{i=1}^n\|_p \leq \pi_q^+(T_j) \left(\|(x_i^j)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{r,weak}^n} \right)^{\frac{r}{p}}.$$

Now, for $x_{i_j}^j$ in D_j , $1 \leq j \leq m$ and y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* in F^{*+} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle S(T_1(x_{i_1}^1), \dots, T_m(x_{i_m}^m)), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \\
&\leq \|S(T_1(x_{i_1}^1), \dots, T_m(x_{i_m}^m))\| \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \| \\
&\leq \|(\rho_{i_1}^1 \dots \rho_{i_m}^m) S(T_1(z_{i_1}^1), \dots, T_m(z_{i_m}^m))\| \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \| \\
&\leq \|(\rho_{i_1}^1 \dots \rho_{i_m}^m) S(T_1(z_{i_1}^1), \dots, T_m(z_{i_m}^m))\|_r \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{r^*} \\
&\leq \|(\rho_{i_1}^1 \dots \rho_{i_m}^m) S(T_1(z_{i_1}^1), \dots, T_m(z_{i_m}^m))\|_r \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{r^*,weak}^n(F^*)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality and the fact that the operator S is multiple Cohen positive strongly p -summing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle S(T_1(x_{i_1}^1), \dots, T_m(x_{i_m}^m)), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle | \\
 & \leq d_p^{\text{mult}+}(S) \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n (\rho_{i_1}^1 \dots \rho_{i_m}^m)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \prod_{j=1}^m \|(T_j(z_i^j))_{i=1}^n\|_p \|(y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n\|_{\ell_{r^*, \text{weak}}^n(F^*)} \\
 & \leq d_p^{\text{mult}+}(S) \prod_{j=1}^m \|(\rho_i^j)_{i=1}^n\|_q \prod_{j=1}^m \pi_q(T_j) \left(\|(x_i^j)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{r, \text{weak}}^n} \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \|(y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n\|_{\ell_{r^*, \text{weak}}^n(F^*)} \\
 & \leq d_p^{\text{mult}+}(S) \prod_{j=1}^m \pi_q(T_j) \left(\|(x_i^j)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{r, \text{weak}}^n} \right)^{\frac{r}{p} + \frac{r}{q}} \|(y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n\|_{\ell_{r^*, \text{weak}}^n(F^*)} \\
 & \leq d_p^{\text{mult}+}(S) \prod_{j=1}^m \pi_q(T_j) \|(x_i^j)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{r, \text{weak}}^n} \|(y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n\|_{\ell_{r^*, \text{weak}}^n(F^*)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S \circ (T_1, \dots, T_m)$ is a multiple Cohen positive r -nuclear operator. \square

3. COHEN'S-TYPE THEOREMS FOR MULTIPLE POSITIVE STRONGLY p -SUMMING OPERATORS

In this section, we investigate inclusions between the class of multiple positive p -summing and multiple positive strongly p -summing operators. By giving the Cohen's-type theorems [7] in the positive multilinear situation. Let $r_1, \dots, r_m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $1 < p \leq \infty$. Let T be a multilinear operator form $\ell_p^{r_1} \times \dots \times \ell_p^{r_m}$ into the finite-dimensional Banach lattice F . Then, T belongs to $\Lambda_p^{\text{mult}}(\ell_p^{r_1}, \dots, \ell_p^{r_m}; F)$ and $\mathcal{D}_p^{\text{mult}+}(\ell_p^{r_1}, \dots, \ell_p^{r_m}; F)$ with $d_p^{\text{mult}+}(T) \leq \Lambda_{p^*}(T)$.

Proof. Let the multilinear operator $T : \ell_p^{r_1} \times \dots \times \ell_p^{r_m} \rightarrow F$. Then T is finite type. Thus obviously T is in $\Lambda_{p^*}^{\text{mult}}(\ell_p^{r_1}, \dots, \ell_p^{r_m}; F)$ and from Example 2, T is in $\mathcal{D}_p^{\text{mult}+}(\ell_p^{r_1}, \dots, \ell_p^{r_m}; F)$. Let now $(e_{k_j})_{k_j=1}^{r_j}$ be the standard basis for $\ell_p^{r_j}$ $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Since T is positive multiple p -summing, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=1}^{r_1, \dots, r_m} \|T(e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_m})\|^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} & \leq \Lambda_{p^*}(T) \prod_{j=1}^m \|(e_{k_j})_{k_j=1}^{r_j}\|_{\ell_{p^*, \text{weak}}^n} \\
 & \leq \Lambda_{p^*}(T).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m) \in \ell_p^{r_1} \times \dots \times \ell_p^{r_m}$ such that $x_{i_j}^j = \sum_{k_j=1}^{r_j} a_{k_j, i_j}^j e_{k_j}$, $y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \in F^{*+}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \\ & \leq \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \left(\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=1}^{r_1, \dots, r_m} |\langle T(a_{k_1, i_1}^1 e_{k_1}, \dots, a_{k_m, i_m}^m e_{k_m}), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=1}^{r_1, \dots, r_m} (|a_{k_1, i_1}^1 \dots a_{k_m, i_m}^m| |\langle T(e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_m}), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle|). \end{aligned}$$

If $1 < p < \infty$, then by the Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \\ & \leq \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \left[\left(\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=1}^{r_1, \dots, r_m} |a_{k_1, i_1}^1 \dots a_{k_m, i_m}^m|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=1}^{r_1, \dots, r_m} |\langle T(e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_m}), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle|^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \left[\|x_{i_1}^1\| \dots \|x_{i_m}^m\| \left(\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=1}^{r_1, \dots, r_m} |\langle T(e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_m}), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle|^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \right] \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|x_{i_1}^1\| \dots \|x_{i_m}^m\| \right) \left(\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=1}^{r_1, \dots, r_m} \|T(e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_m})\|^{p^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \|(y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{p^*, weak}^n(F^*)} \\ & \leq \Lambda_{p^*}(T) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \|x_i^j\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|(y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_{p^*, weak}^n(F^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $d_p^{mult+}(T) \leq \Lambda_{p^*}(T)$.

If $p = \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \\ & \leq \sup_{1 \leq i_j \leq n} \sup_{1 \leq k_j \leq r_j} |a_{k_1, i_1}^1 \dots a_{k_m, i_m}^m| \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=1}^{r_1, \dots, r_m} |\langle T(e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_m}), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \right) \\ & \leq \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^m \|(x_i^j)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_\infty^{r_j}} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_m=1}^{r_1, \dots, r_m} \|T(e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_m})\| \|(y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n\|_{\ell_{1, weak}^n(F^*)} \\ & \leq \Lambda_1(T) \prod_{j=1}^m \|(x_i^j)_{i=1}^n\|_{\ell_\infty^{r_j}} \|(y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n\|_{\ell_{1, weak}^n(F^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain $d_\infty^{mult+}(T) \leq \Lambda_1(T)$. This completes the proof. \square

For $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $1 < p \leq \infty$ and let E_j ($1 \leq j \leq m$) be an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda_j}$ -space with $\lambda_j > 1$. Then

$$\Lambda_{p^*}^{mult}(E_1, \dots, E_m; F) \subset \mathcal{D}_p^{mult+}(E_1, \dots, E_m; F),$$

$$\text{and } d_p^{mult+}(T) \leq \prod_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \Lambda_{p^*}(T).$$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m)$ in $E_1 \times \dots \times E_m$ and $T \in \Lambda_{p^*}^{mult}(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$.

Since E_j ($1 \leq j \leq m$) is an $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda_j}$ -space, there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $M_j \subset E_j$ containing a finite-dimensional subspace spanned by $x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m$ and an invertible operator $S_j : \ell_p^{r_j} \rightarrow M_j$ ($Dim M_j = r_j$) such that $\|S_j\| \|S_j^{-1}\| < \lambda_j$.

Consider the following diagram

$$0.15in0.18inE_1 \times \dots \times E_m [r]^T F M_1 [u]_{i_1} \times \dots \times M_m [u]_{i_m} \ell_p^{r_1} [l]_{(S_1, \dots, S_m)} \times \dots \times [u]_{\tilde{T}} \ell_p^{r_m} \text{span} \{x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m\}$$

where i_j and k_j for ($1 \leq j \leq m$) are the canonical inclusion mappings and the operator \tilde{T} is defined by $\tilde{T} = T(i_1 \circ S_1, \dots, i_m \circ S_m)$. Since $T \in \Lambda_{p^*}^{mult}(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$ then

$$\Lambda_{p^*}(\tilde{T}) \leq \Lambda_{p^*}(T) \prod_{j=1}^m \|S_j\| \|i_j\|.$$

Therefore, using the previous theorem, we have $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{D}_p^{mult+}(\ell_p^{r_1}, \dots, \ell_p^{r_m}; F)$ and

$$d_p^{mult+}(\tilde{T}) \leq \Lambda_{p^*}(\tilde{T}) \leq \Lambda_{p^*}(T) \prod_{j=1}^m \|S_j\|.$$

If we let $z_{i_j}^j = S_j^{-1} x_{i_j}^j$ in $\ell_p^{r_j}$ and $y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \in F^{*+}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle \tilde{T}(z_{i_1}^1, \dots, z_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \\ &\leq d_p^{mult+}(\tilde{T}) \| (z_i^1)_{i=1}^n \|_p \cdots \| (z_i^m)_{i=1}^n \|_p \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, weak}^n(F^*)} \\ &\leq \Lambda_{p^*}(T) \prod_{j=1}^m \|S_j\| \| (z_i^1)_{i=1}^n \|_p \cdots \| (z_i^m)_{i=1}^n \|_p \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, weak}^n(F^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $z_{i_j}^j = S_j^{-1} x_{i_j}^j$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n |\langle T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m), y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \rangle| \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \Lambda_{p^*}(T) \| (x_i^1)_{i=1}^n \|_p \cdots \| (x_i^m)_{i=1}^n \|_p \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, weak}^n(F^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, T belongs to $\mathcal{D}_p^{mult+}(E_1, \dots, E_m; F)$ and $d_p^{mult+}(T) \leq \prod_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \Lambda_{p^*}(T)$. \square

4. CONNECTION WITH TENSOR PRODUCT

In this section, we endow $X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_m \otimes F^*$ with a norm in such way that its topological dual is isometric to the space of multiple Cohen positive strongly p -summing m -linear operators from $X_1 \times \dots \times X_m$ into F .

For each $z \in X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_m \otimes F$, we have

$$\delta_p^+(z) := \inf \left\{ \|(\lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_m})_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n\|_{\ell_\infty^n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \|(x_i^j)_{i=1}^n\|_p \right) \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m})_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, |weak|}^n(F)} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations $z = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_m} x_{i_1}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i_m}^m \otimes y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}$. The application $z \mapsto \delta_p^+(z)$ is a norm on $X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_m \otimes F$.

Proof. The proof of this proposition is similar to the proof of [14, Proposition 2.1]. \square

The topological dual $(X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_m \otimes F^*, \delta_p^+)^*$ of $(X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_m \otimes F^*, \delta_p^+)$ is isometric to $\mathcal{D}_p^{mult+}(X_1, \dots, X_m; F)$ through the mapping ϕ_T defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_T : X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_m \otimes F^* &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x^1 \otimes \dots \otimes x^m \otimes y^* &\mapsto y^*(T(x^1, \dots, x^m)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any $z = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_m} x_{i_1}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i_m}^m \otimes y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*$ in $X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_m \otimes F^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_T(z)| &= \left| \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_m} y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*(T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m)) \right| \\ &\leq d_p^{mult+}(T) \|(\lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_m})_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n\|_{\ell_\infty^n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \|(x_i^j)_{i=1}^n\|_p \right) \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, |weak|}^n(F^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for each z , we have $|\phi_T(z)| \leq d_p^{mult+}(T) \delta_p^+(z)$, and so $\|\phi_T\| \leq d_p^{mult+}(T)$.

For the other direction, letting $(\lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_m})_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^*$, $(x_{i_j}^j)_{i_j=1}^n \subset X_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$) and $(y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \subset F^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_m} y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*(T(x_{i_1}^1, \dots, x_{i_m}^m)) \right| = |\phi_T(z)| \\ &\leq \|\phi_T\| \delta_p^+ \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_m} x_{i_1}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i_m}^m \otimes y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^* \right) \\ &\leq \|\phi_T\| \|(\lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_m})_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n\|_{\ell_\infty^n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \|(x_i^j)_{i=1}^n\|_p \right) \| (y_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^*)_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n \|_{\ell_{p^*, |weak|}^n(F^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 2.1 that $d_p^{mult+}(T) \leq \|\phi_T\|$. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to anonymous referees and editor for many important suggestions and guidelines in order to make this paper more coherent. The authors acknowledge with thanks the support of the General Direction of Scientific Research and Technological Development (DGRSDT), Algeria.

REFERENCES

1. D. Achour and A. Belacel, *Domination and factorization theorems for positive strongly p -summing operators*, Positivity **18** (2014), no. 4, 785–804.
2. O. Blasco, *Positive p -summing operators on L_p -spaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), no. 2, 275–280.
3. A. Bougoutaia and A. Belacel. *Cohen positive strongly p -summing and p -convex multilinear operators*, Positivity **23** (2019), no. 2, 379–395.
4. A. Bougoutaia, A. Belacel, and H. Hamdi, *Domination and Kwapien’s factorization theorems for positive Cohen nuclear linear operators*, Moroccan J. Pure Appl. Anal. **7** (2021), no. 1, 100–115.
5. Q. Bu and C.C.A. Labuschagne, *Positive multiple summing and concave multilinear operators on Banach lattices*, Mediterr. J. Math. **12** (2015), no. 1, 77–87.
6. J.R. Campos, *Cohen and multiple Cohen strongly summing multilinear operators*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **62** (2014), no. 3, 322–346.
7. J.S. Cohen, *Absolutely p -summing, p -nuclear operators and their conjugates*, Math. Ann. **201** (1973) 177–200.
8. J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, and A. Tonge, *Absolutely Summing Operators*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 43. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
9. M.C. Matos, *Fully absolutely summing and Hilbert-Schmidt multilinear mappings*, Collect. Math. **54** (2003), no. 2, 111–136.
10. Y. Meléndez and A. Tonge, *Polynomials and the Pietsch domination theorem*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. **99A** (1999), no. 2, 195–212.
11. D. Pellegrino and J. Santos, *Absolutely summing multilinear operators: a panorama*, Quaest. Math. **34** (2011), no. 4, 447–478.
12. D. Pérez-García and I. Villanueva, *Multiple summing operators on $C(K)$ spaces*. Ark. Mat. **42** (2004), no. 1, 153–171.
13. D. Popa. *Multiple summing, dominated and summing operators on a product of l_1 spaces*, Positivity **18** (2014), no. 4, 751–765.
14. R.A. Ryan. *Introduction to Tensor Products of Banach Spaces*, Springer-Verlag London Ltd. London, 2002.

¹LABORATORY OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AMAR TELIDJI. LAGHOUAT, ALGERIA.

Email address: hal.hamdi@lagh-univ.dz; a.belacel@lagh-univ.dz

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LAGHOUAT, ALGERIA

Email address: amarbou28@gmail.com