



SUBORDINATION PROBLEMS FOR CERTAIN MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

H. ÖZLEM GÜNEY^{1*} AND SHIGEYOSHI OWA²

Communicated by H.R. Ebrahimi Vishki

ABSTRACT. Let Σ be the class of meromorphic functions f of the form $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + a_0 + a_1z + \dots$ that are analytic in the punctured disk \mathbb{U}_0 . For $f \in \Sigma$, operators $D^n f$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ are introduced. Applying differential subordinations for analytic functions in the open unit disc \mathbb{U} , some interesting properties of $f \in \Sigma$ with $D^n f$ are discussed, and argument problems of $D^n f$ are given. Also, we consider some simple problems for our results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Σ be the class of meromorphic functions f of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

that are analytic in the punctured disk $\mathbb{U}_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$. For $f \in \Sigma$, Güney, Breaz, and Owa [1] introduced

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z),$$

$$D^1 f(z) = Df(z) = \frac{1}{z} (z^2 f(z))' = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2) a_k z^k,$$

$$D^2 f(z) = D(Df(z)) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2)^2 a_k z^k,$$

Date: Received: 14 April 2023; Revised: 25 June 2023; Accepted: 27 June 2023.

*Corresponding author.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Meromorphic function, analytic function, differential subordination, argument problem.

and

$$D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2)^n a_k z^k,$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. On the other hand, Güneş, Breaz, and Owa [1] considered

$$D^{-1} f(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} \int_0^z t f(t) dt = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k+2} \right) a_k z^k,$$

$$D^{-2} f(z) = D^{-1}(D^{-1} f(z)) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k+2} \right)^2 a_k z^k,$$

and

$$D^{-n} f(z) = D^{-1}(D^{-n+1} f(z)) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k+2} \right)^n a_k z^k$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. With the above definitions, we say that

$$D^n f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2)^n a_k z^k \tag{1.1}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Let F and G be analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Then F is said to be subordinate to G , written $F \prec G$, if there exists a function w in \mathbb{U} such that $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), and $F(z) = G(w(z))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. If G is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then $F \prec G$ if and only if $F(0) = G(0)$ and $F(\mathbb{U}) \subseteq G(\mathbb{U})$ (see [6, 7]). In the present paper, we would like to discuss some interesting problems for differential subordinations of our operator $D^n f$.

In this paper, we introduce the operators $D^n f$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ for $f \in \Sigma$. Applying differential subordinations for analytic functions in the open unit disc \mathbb{U} , we discuss some interesting properties of $f \in \Sigma$ with $D^n f$ and give argument problems of $D^n f$. Also, we consider some simple problems for our results.

2. PROBLEMS FOR DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATIONS

To discuss our problems, we first introduce the following lemma proved by Suffridge [8].

Lemma 2.1. *If a function p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$ satisfying*

$$z p'(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some starlike function h , then

$$p(z) \prec \int_0^z \frac{h(t)}{t} dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

With the above lemma, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$zD^{n+1}f(z) - zD^n f(z) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}$$

satisfies

$$zD^n f(z) \prec \log \left(\frac{z}{(1 - z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \right),$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Proof. We consider the functions $p(z) = zD^n f(z)$ and

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}.$$

Then p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$, and h is starlike of order α in \mathbb{U} . It follows

$$zp'(z) = z(zD^n f(z))' = zD^{n+1}f(z) - zD^n f(z)$$

and

$$\int_0^z \frac{h(t)}{t} dt = \int_0^z \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-t} \right) dt = \log \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \right).$$

Therefore, applying Lemma 2.1, we prove the theorem. \square

Taking $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 2.2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$zD^{n+1}f(z) - zD^n f(z) \prec \frac{1}{1 - z}$$

satisfies

$$zD^n f(z) \prec \log \left(\frac{z}{1 - z} \right).$$

Making $n = 0$ in Theorem 2.2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies

$$zf(z) + z^2 f'(z) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}, \quad (2.1)$$

then

$$zf(z) \prec \log \left(\frac{z}{(1 - z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \right), \quad (2.2)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Example 2.5. We consider a function $f \in \Sigma$ given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} \log \left(\frac{z}{(1 - z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \right).$$

Then

$$zf(z) + z^2 f'(z) = 1 + 2(1 - \alpha) \frac{z}{1 - z} = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}.$$

Thus f satisfies (2.1) and (2.2).

In 1975, Hallenbeck and Ruscheweyh [3] gave the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *If a function p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$ satisfying*

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec h(z)$$

for some convex function h , then

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{h(t)}{t} dt.$$

Applying the above lemma, we derive the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$zD^{n+1}f(z) \prec \log \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \right) \tag{2.3}$$

satisfies

$$zD^n f(z) \prec \log \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \right) + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{z} \log(1-z) + (1-2\alpha),$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Proof. Consider a function p given by $p(z) = zD^n f(z)$. Then p is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $p(0) = 1$. It follows that

$$p(z) + zp'(z) = zD^n f(z) + z(D^n f(z) + z(D^n f(z))') = zD^{n+1}f(z).$$

Furthermore, we know that

$$h(z) = \log \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

satisfies

$$zh'(z) = \frac{1 + (1-2\alpha)z}{1-z}.$$

Since zh' is starlike of order α in \mathbb{U} , h is convex in \mathbb{U} . Therefore, applying Lemma 2.6, we say that if f satisfies the subordination (2.3), then

$$\begin{aligned} zD^n f(z) &\prec \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \left(\log \left(\frac{t}{(1-t)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \right) \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z (\log t - 2(1-\alpha) \log(1-t)) dt \\ &= \log \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \right) + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{z} \log(1-z) + (1-2\alpha) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Taking $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 2.7, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$zD^{n+1}f(z) \prec \log \left(\frac{z}{1-z} \right)$$

satisfies

$$zD^n f(z) \prec \log \left(\frac{z}{1-z} \right) + \frac{1}{z} \log(1-z).$$

Next, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$zD^{n+1}f(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

satisfies

$$zD^n f(z) \prec \frac{2}{z} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z} \right) - 1.$$

Proof. We consider functions $p(z) = zD^n f(z)$ and

$$h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Then p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$, and h is a convex function in \mathbb{U} . Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h(t) dt &= \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \frac{1+t}{1-t} dt \\ &= \frac{2}{z} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z} \right) - 1, \end{aligned}$$

we complete the proof by Lemma 2.6. □

Letting $n = 0$ in Theorem 2.9, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.10. *If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies*

$$z(2f(z) + zf'(z)) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

then

$$zf(z) \prec \frac{2}{z} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z} \right) - 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Example 2.11. We consider a function f given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} \left(2 \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z} \right) - z \right).$$

Then f satisfies the subordination (2.4). Also, we have

$$z(2f(z) + zf'(z)) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Therefore, f is the function satisfying Corollary 2.10.

3. APPLICATIONS OF MILLER AND MOCANU LEMMA

We need to introduce the following lemma for differential subordinations by Miller and Mocanu [5].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\beta_0 = 1.21872\dots$ be the solution of $\beta\pi = \frac{3}{2}\pi - \tan^{-1} \beta$ and let $\alpha = \alpha(\beta) = \beta + 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)$ for $0 < \beta < \beta_0$. If p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$, then*

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\alpha$$

implies that

$$p(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\beta.$$

Remark 3.2. If $\beta = 1$ in Lemma 3.1, then $\alpha = \alpha(1) = \frac{3}{2}$. Thus Lemma 3.1 says that if p satisfies

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

then

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Viewing the proof of Lemma 3.1 done by Miller and Mocanu, we say the following result.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\beta_0 = 1.21872\dots$ be the solution of $\beta\pi = \frac{3}{2}\pi - \tan^{-1} \beta$ and let $\alpha = \alpha(\beta) = \beta + 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)$ for $0 < \beta < \beta_0$. If p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$, then*

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+(1-2\gamma)z}{1-z}\right)^\alpha$$

implies that

$$p(z) \prec \left(\frac{1+(1-2\gamma)z}{1-z}\right)^\beta,$$

where $0 \leq \gamma < 1$.

Remark 3.4. Let us take $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ in Lemma 3.3. If p satisfies

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec \left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)^\alpha,$$

then

$$p(z) \prec \left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)^\beta,$$

where α and β are given in Lemma 3.3.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\beta_0 = 1.21872\dots$ be the solution of $\beta\pi = \frac{3}{2}\pi - \tan^{-1} \beta$ and let $\alpha = \alpha(\beta) = \beta + 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)$ for $0 < \beta < \beta_0$. If p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$, then*

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec \delta + (1-\delta) \left(\frac{1+(1-2\gamma)z}{1-z}\right)^\alpha$$

implies that

$$p(z) \prec \delta + (1-\delta) \left(\frac{1+(1-2\gamma)z}{1-z}\right)^\beta,$$

where $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

Proof. We consider a function F given by

$$F(z) = \frac{p(z) - \delta}{1 - \delta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then F is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $F(0) = 1$ and

$$zF'(z) = \frac{zp'(z)}{1 - \delta}.$$

Using Lemma 3.3, we know that

$$F(z) + zF'(z) = \frac{p(z) + zp'(z) - \delta}{1 - \delta} \prec \left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \right)^\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (3.1)$$

implies that

$$F(z) = \frac{p(z) - \delta}{1 - \delta} \prec \left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \right)^\beta. \quad (3.2)$$

From the subordinations (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec \delta + (1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \right)^\alpha$$

implies that

$$p(z) \prec \delta + (1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \right)^\beta.$$

□

Taking $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 3.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let α and β be defined in Theorem 3.5. If p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$, then*

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec \delta + (1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1}{1 - z} \right)^\alpha$$

implies that

$$p(z) \prec \delta + (1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1}{1 - z} \right)^\beta,$$

where $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

Remark 3.7. If we take $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 3.5, then we have the result proved by Güney, Breaz and Owa [2].

Corollary 3.8. *Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let α and β be as in Theorem 3.5. If f satisfies*

$$zD^{n+1}f(z) \prec \delta + (1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \right)^\alpha,$$

then

$$zD^n f(z) \prec \delta + (1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \right)^\beta,$$

where $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

Furthermore, taking $n = 1$ in Corollary 3.8, we see the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9. *Let α and β be as in Theorem 3.5. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies*

$$z(2f(z) + zf'(z)) \prec \delta + (1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \right)^\alpha,$$

then

$$zf(z) \prec \delta + (1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \right)^\beta,$$

where $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

Letting $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 3.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10. *If p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$, and*

$$p(z) + zp'(z) \prec \delta + (1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

then

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2(\gamma + \delta - \gamma\delta))z}{1 - z}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > \gamma + \delta - \gamma\delta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

Taking $p(z) = zD^n f(z)$ in Corollary 3.10, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies*

$$zD^{n+1}f(z) \prec \delta + (1 - \delta) \left(\frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)z}{1 - z} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

then

$$zD^n f(z) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2(\gamma + \delta - \gamma\delta))z}{1 - z}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}(zD^n f(z)) > \gamma + \delta - \gamma\delta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

Next, we introduce the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu [6] (also, due to Jack [4]).

Lemma 3.12. *Let w be analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$. If $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r < 1$ at a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$, then*

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = m w(z_0)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} \right) \geq m,$$

where $m \geq 1$.

Theorem 3.13. Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies

$$zD^n f(z) \prec \frac{\alpha(1+z)}{\alpha + (2-\alpha)z} \quad (3.3)$$

for some real $\alpha > 1$, then

$$\left| zD^n f(z) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

This means that

$$0 < \operatorname{Re}(zD^n f(z)) < \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. We consider a function w defined by

$$zD^n f(z) = \frac{\alpha(1+w(z))}{\alpha + (2-\alpha)w(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3.4)$$

Then w is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ by (3.3). It follows from (3.4) that

$$|w(z)| = \left| \frac{\alpha(zD^n f(z) - 1)}{\alpha - (2-\alpha)zD^n f(z)} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

This gives us that

$$2|zD^n f(z)|^2 - \alpha \left(zD^n f(z) + \overline{zD^n f(z)} \right) < 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and that

$$\left| zD^n f(z) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

□

Taking $n = 0$ in Theorem 3.13, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.14. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies

$$zf(z) \prec \frac{\alpha(1+z)}{\alpha + (2-\alpha)z}$$

for some real $\alpha > 1$, then

$$\left| zf(z) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Theorem 3.15. Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right) < 1 + \frac{\alpha-1}{2\delta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (3.5)$$

for some real α ($1 < \alpha \leq 2$) or

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right) < 1 + \frac{1}{2\delta(\alpha-1)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (3.6)$$

for some real α ($\alpha > 2$), then

$$\left| (zD^n f(z))^\delta - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (3.7)$$

where $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

Proof. Let us consider a function w by

$$(zD^n f(z))^\delta = \frac{\alpha(1+w(z))}{\alpha+(2-\alpha)w(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{3.8}$$

for some $0 < \delta \leq 1$. Then we see that w is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $w(0) = 0$. It follows from (3.8) that

$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 = \frac{zw'(z)}{\delta w(z)} \left(\frac{w(z)}{1+w(z)} - \frac{(2-\alpha)w(z)}{\alpha+(2-\alpha)w(z)} \right).$$

We suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1.$$

Then, using Lemma 3.12, we say that

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = mw(z_0) \quad (m \geq 1)$$

and $w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$). This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z_0)}{D^n f(z_0)} \right) &= 1 + \frac{m}{\delta} \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}}{1+e^{i\theta}} - \frac{(2-\alpha)e^{i\theta}}{\alpha+(2-\alpha)e^{i\theta}} \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{m}{\delta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{(\alpha-2)(2-\alpha+\alpha \cos \theta)}{\alpha^2+(2-\alpha)^2+2\alpha(2-\alpha)\cos \theta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let a function g be defined by

$$g(t) = \frac{2-\alpha+\alpha t}{\alpha^2+(2-\alpha)^2+2\alpha(2-\alpha)t} \quad (t = \cos \theta).$$

Then we have

$$g'(t) = \frac{4\alpha(\alpha-1)}{(\alpha^2+(2-\alpha)^2+2\alpha(2-\alpha)t)^2} > 0$$

for $\alpha > 1$. Thus we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z_0)}{D^n f(z_0)} \right) \geq 1 + \frac{m}{\delta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha-2}{2} \right) \geq 1 + \frac{\alpha-1}{2\delta} \tag{3.9}$$

for $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z_0)}{D^n f(z_0)} \right) \geq 1 + \frac{m}{\delta} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha-2}{2(\alpha-1)} \right) \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2\delta(\alpha-1)} \tag{3.10}$$

for $\alpha > 2$. The inequalities (3.9) and (3.10) contradict our conditions (3.5) and (3.6). Therefore there is no w such that $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z_0)| = 1$ for $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$. This means that $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and that

$$|w(z)| = \left| \frac{\alpha((zD^n f(z))^\delta - 1)}{\alpha - (2-\alpha)(zD^n f(z))^\delta} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

This gives that the inequality (3.7) is satisfied for $f \in \Sigma$. □

Making $\delta = 1$ in Theorem 3.15, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.16. Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right) < \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real α ($1 < \alpha \leq 2$) or

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right) < \frac{2\alpha - 1}{2(\alpha - 1)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real α ($\alpha > 2$), then

$$\left| z D^n f(z) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Letting $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 3.15, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.17. Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right) < \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real α ($1 < \alpha \leq 2$) or

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right) < \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real α ($\alpha > 2$), then

$$\left| \sqrt{z D^n f(z)} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

4. ARGUMENT PROBLEMS

In this section, we consider argument problems for $f \in \Sigma$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1 + \beta z}{1 - z} \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and for some real β ($\beta \neq -1$), then

$$|\arg(z D^n f(z))| < \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Let us define a function p by $p(z) = z D^n f(z)$. Then p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$ and satisfies

$$\frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1.$$

For such p , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\arg p(z)| &= |\operatorname{Im}(\log p(z))| \\ &= \left| \operatorname{Im} \int_0^z \frac{p'(t)}{p(t)} dt \right| = \left| \operatorname{Im} \int_0^r \frac{p'(\rho e^{i\theta})}{p(\rho e^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} d\rho \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^r \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{p'(\rho e^{i\theta})}{p(\rho e^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} \right) \right| d\rho \leq \int_{-r}^r \left| \frac{p'(\rho e^{i\theta})}{p(\rho e^{i\theta})} \right| d\rho \\ &\leq \frac{r}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{p'(re^{i\theta})}{p(re^{i\theta})} \right| d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{re^{i\theta} p'(re^{i\theta})}{p(re^{i\theta})} \right| d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{D^{n+1}f(re^{i\theta})}{D^n f(re^{i\theta})} - 1 \right| d\theta < \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1 + \beta re^{i\theta}}{1 - re^{i\theta}} \right) d\theta \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{1 - \beta}{2} + \left(\frac{1 + \beta}{2} \right) \frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2 - 2r \cos \theta} \right\} d\theta = \frac{\alpha}{2} \end{aligned}$$

using Poisson integral given by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2 - 2r \cos \theta} d\theta = 1.$$

Therefore, we have

$$|\arg p(z)| = |\arg(zD^n f(z))| < \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

□

Example 4.2. We consider a function $f \in \Sigma$ given by

$$zD^n f(z) = \left(\frac{2}{2 - z} \right)^{3\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Note that

$$w(z) = \frac{2}{2 - z}$$

satisfies

$$\left| w(z) - \frac{4}{3} \right| < \frac{2}{3} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$|\arg w(z)| < \frac{\pi}{6} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then we have

$$\left| \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right| = 3\alpha \left| \frac{z}{2 - z} \right| < 3\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Therefore, considering β such that $\beta \leq -11$, we see that

$$\left| \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right| < 3\alpha \leq \frac{\alpha(1 - \beta)}{4} < \frac{\alpha}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1 + \beta z}{1 - z} \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Taking $n = 0$ in Theorem 4.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1 + \beta z}{1 - z} \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and for some real β ($\beta \neq -1$), then

$$|\arg(zf(z))| < \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Now, we derive the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $D^n f$ be given by (1.1) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $f \in \Sigma$ satisfies*

$$\left| \frac{D^{n+2}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1 + \beta z}{1 - z} \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some real α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and for some real β ($\beta \neq -1$), then

$$\left| \arg \left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. We consider a function p by

$$p(z) = \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)}. \quad (4.1)$$

Then p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$. It follows from (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} &= \frac{z(D^{n+1}f(z))'}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} \\ &= \frac{D^{n+2}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

For such p , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\arg p(z)| &= |\operatorname{Im}(\log p(z))| \\ &\leq \left| \operatorname{Im} \int_0^r \frac{p'(\rho e^{i\theta})}{p(\rho e^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} d\rho \right| \leq \int_{-r}^r \left| \frac{p'(\rho e^{i\theta})}{p(\rho e^{i\theta})} \right| d\rho \\ &\leq \frac{r}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{p'(re^{i\theta})}{p(re^{i\theta})} \right| d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{re^{i\theta} p'(re^{i\theta})}{p(re^{i\theta})} \right| d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{D^{n+2}f(re^{i\theta})}{D^{n+1}f(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{D^{n+1}f(re^{i\theta})}{D^n f(re^{i\theta})} \right| d\theta \\ &< \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1 + \beta re^{i\theta}}{1 - re^{i\theta}} \right) d\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This shows us that

$$|\arg p(z)| = \left| \arg \left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

□

Example 4.5. We consider a function $f \in \Sigma$ given by

$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} = \left(\frac{2}{2-z}\right)^{3\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Since

$$\left|\arg\left(\frac{2}{2-z}\right)\right| < \frac{\pi}{6} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

we have

$$\left|\arg\left(\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)}\right)\right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Also, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{D^{n+2}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)}\right| &= 3\alpha \left|\frac{z}{2-z}\right| \\ &< 3\alpha \leq \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)}{4} < \frac{\alpha}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1+\beta z}{1-z}\right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \end{aligned}$$

for $\beta \leq -11$.

5. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. H.Ö. Güney, D. Breaz and S. Owa, *A new operator for meromorphic functions*, Mathematics **10** (2022), no. 12, 1985.
2. H. Ö. Güney, D. Breaz and S. Owa, *Some properties for subordinations of analytic functions*, Axioms **12** (2023), no. 2, 131.
3. D.J. Hallenbeck and S. Ruscheweyh, *Subordination by convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1975) 191–195.
4. I.S. Jack, *Functions starlike and convex of order α* , J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **3** (1971) 469–474.
5. S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Marx-Strohhäcker differential subordination systems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1987), no. 3, 527–534.
6. S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York-Basel, 2000.
7. C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions: with a chapter on Quadratic Differentials by Gerd Jensen*, Studia Mathematica 25, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
8. T.J. Suffridge, *Some remarks on convex maps of the unit disk*, Duke Math. J. **37** (1970) 775–777.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, DICLE UNIVERSITY, DIYARBAKIR, TÜRKIYE.

Email address: ozlemg@dicle.edu.tr

²HONORARY PROFESSOR, “1 DECEMBRIE 1918” UNIVERSITY OF ALBA IULIA, 510009 ALBA IULIA, ROMANIA.

Email address: shige21@ican.zaq.ne.jp