Khayyam J. Math. 5 (2019), no. 1, 69–78 DOI: 10.22034/kjm.2018.75278



ON RANDERS CHANGE OF GENERALIZED *m*TH ROOT METRIC

MANOJ KUMAR

Communicated by B. Mashayekhy

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we find a condition under which a Finsler space with Randers change of generalized mth root metric is projectively related to an mth root metric. Then we find a condition under which Randers change of generalized mth root Finsler metric is locally projectively flat and also find a condition for locally dually flatness.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1941, Randers [6] introduced a special class of Finsler space defined by $\overline{F} = F + \beta$, where F is a Riemannian metric and $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ is a one-form. Matsumoto [4] studied Randers spaces and generalized Randers spaces in which F is Finslerian. The theory of mth root metrics has been developed by Matsumoto and Shimada (see [5] and [7]) and applied to ecology by Antonelli [1] and studied by many authors (see [10, 11, 12, 13]). Recent studies show that mth root Finsler metrics plays a very important role in physics, space-time, general relativity as well as in unified gauge field theory (see [2]). In [9], Tayebi and Najafi characterized locally dually flat and Antonelli mth root metrics.

Recently, Tayebi, Peyghan, and Shahbazi Nia [10] has introduced generalized mth root Finsler metrics in which they studied locally dually flatness of generalized mth root Finsler metrics and found a condition under which a generalized mth root metric is projectively related to an mth root metric.

Throughout this paper, we call the Finsler metric \overline{F} as transformed *m*th root metric and $(M^n, \overline{F}) = \overline{F}^n$ as transformed Finsler space. We restrict ourselves to m > 2, throughout the paper and also the quantities corresponding to the

Date: Received: 19 July 2018; Revised: 22 September 2018; Accepted: 20 October 2018. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53B40; Secondary 53C60.

Key words and phrases. Finsler space; Randers change of generalized mth root metrics; projectively related metrics; locally projectively flat metric; locally dually flat metric.

MANOJ KUMAR

transformed Finsler space \overline{F}^n will be denoted by putting bar on the top of that quantity.

In this paper, we obtain the condition under which the transformed Finsler space is projectively related with the given Finsler space. Also we find the condition under which the transformed Finsler space is locally projectively flat and locally dually flat.

2. Preliminaries

Let M^n be an *n*-dimensional C^{∞} -manifold, and let $T_x M$ denote the tangent space of M^n at x. The tangent bundle TM is the union of tangent spaces, $TM := \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x M$. We denote the elements of TM by (x, y), where $x = (x^i)$ is a point of M^n and $y \in T_x M$, called supporting element. We denote $TM_0 = TM \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition 2.1. A Finsler metric on M^n is a function $F : TM \to [0, \infty)$ with the following properties:

(i) F is C^{∞} on TM_0 ,

(ii) F is positively 1-homogeneous on the fibers of tangent bundle TM, and

(iii) the Hessian of F^2 with element $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$ is positive definite on TM_0 .

The pair $(M^n, F) = F^n$ is called a Finsler space. F is called the fundamental function, and g_{ij} is called the fundamental metric tensor of the Finsler space F^n . The normalized supporting element l_i and angular metric tensor h_{ij} of F^n are defined, respectively, as:

$$l_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^i}$$
 and $h_{ij} = F \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$. (2.1)

Let $F = \sqrt[m]{A}$ be an *m*th root Finsler metric, where A is given by $A := a_{i_1i_2...i_m}(x) y^{i_1}y^{i_2}...y^{i_m}$, with $a_{i_1...i_m}$ is symmetric in all its indices [7]. The generalized *m*th root metric is defined as $\tilde{F} = \sqrt{F^2 + B}$. Here F is called an associated *m*th root metric of the generalized *m*th root metric \tilde{F} [8].

Consider the transformed Finsler metric

$$\bar{F} = \sqrt{F^2 + B} + C, \qquad (2.2)$$

where $B = b_{ij}(x)y^i y^j$ and $C = c_i(x)y^i$ is a one-form on the manifold M^n . This transformed metric \overline{F} is called Randers change of generalized *m*th root metric. Clearly \overline{F} is also a Finsler metric on M^n . Let,

$$A_{i} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial y^{i}}, \quad A_{ij} = \frac{\partial^{2} A}{\partial y^{i} \partial y^{j}}, \quad A_{x^{i}} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x^{i}}, \quad A_{0} = A_{x^{i}} y^{i},$$
$$B_{i} = \frac{\partial B}{\partial y^{i}}, \quad B_{x^{i}} = \frac{\partial B}{\partial x^{i}}, \quad B_{0} = B_{x^{i}} y^{i},$$
$$C_{i} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial y^{i}}, \quad C_{x^{i}} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial x^{i}}, \quad C_{0} = C_{x^{i}} y^{i}.$$

3. Fundamental metric tensor with Randers change of generalized *m*th root metric

From (2.2), we have

$$\bar{F}^2 = A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B + C^2 + 2C\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}.$$
(3.1)

Differentiating equation (3.1) with respect to y^i yields

$$\frac{\partial \bar{F}^2}{\partial y^i} = \frac{2}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m}-1} A_i + 2b_{ij} y^j + 2CC_i + 2C_i \sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} + \frac{\left(\frac{2}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m}-1} A_i + 2b_{ij} y^j\right) C}{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}}.$$
(3.2)

Again, differentiating equation (3.2) with respect to y^j yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{F}^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} &= \frac{1}{m} (\frac{2}{m} - 1) A^{\frac{2}{m} - 2} A_i A_j + \frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m} - 1} A_{ij} + b_{ij} + C_i C_j \\ &+ \frac{C_i \left(\frac{2}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m} - 1} A_j + 2b_{jk} y^k\right)}{2\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{m} (\frac{2}{m} - 1) A^{\frac{2}{m} - 2} A_i A_j + \frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m} - 1} A_{ij} + b_{ij} \right) C \right\}}{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} \\ &+ \frac{C_j \sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} \left(\frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m} - 1} A_i + b_{ij} y^j\right)}{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} \\ &- C \left(\frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m} - 1} A_i + b_{ij} y^j\right) \frac{\frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m} - 1} A_j + b_{ij} y^i}{(A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \bar{g_{ij}} &= \frac{1}{m} (\frac{2}{m} - 1) A^{\frac{2}{m} - 2} A_i A_j + \frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m} - 1} A_{ij} + b_{ij} + C_i C_j \\ &+ \frac{C_i \left(\frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m} - 1} A_j + b_{jk} y^k \right)}{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} \\ &+ (A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{m} (\frac{2}{m} - 1) A^{\frac{2}{m} - 2} A_i A_j + \frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m} - 1} A_{ij} + b_{ij} \right) C \\ &+ C_j \left(\frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m} - 1} A_i + b_{ij} y^j \right) \right\} \\ &- C (A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m} - 1} A_i + b_{ij} y^j \right) \left(\frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m} - 1} A_j + b_{ij} y^i \right). \end{split}$$

The metric tensor of Finsler space with Randers change of generalized mth root metric is given by

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = \hat{g}_{ij} \left(1 + \frac{C}{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} \right) + C_i C_j + \frac{C_i D_j + C_j D_i}{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} - C \frac{D_i D_j}{(A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)^{3/2}}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = g_{ij} + b_{ij}, \quad D_i = \frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m}-1} A_i + b_{ij} y^j, \quad D_j = \frac{1}{m} A^{\frac{2}{m}-1} A_j + b_{ij} y^i$$

and

$$g_{ij} = \frac{A^{\frac{2}{m}-2}}{m^2} \left[mAA_{ij} + (2-m)A_iA_j \right].$$

Proposition 3.1. The covariant metric tensor \bar{g}_{ij} of Randers change of generalized mth root metric is given as:

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = \hat{g}_{ij} \left(1 + \frac{C}{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} \right) + C_i C_j + \frac{C_i D_j + C_j D_i}{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} - C \frac{D_i D_j}{(A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)^{3/2}}.$$

4. Spray coefficients of Randers change of generalized mth root metric

The geodesics of a Finsler space F^n are given by the following system of equations:

$$\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} + G^i\left(x, \frac{dx}{dt}\right) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where

$$G^{i} = \frac{1}{4} g^{il} \left\{ \left[F^{2} \right]_{x^{k} y^{l}} y^{k} - \left[F^{2} \right]_{x^{l}} \right\}$$
(4.1)

are called the spray coefficients of F^n .

Two Finsler metrics F and \overline{F} on a manifold M^n are called projectively related if there is a scalar function P(x, y) defined on TM_0 such that $\overline{G}^i = G^i + Py^i$, where \overline{G}^i and G^i are the geodesic spray coefficients of \overline{F}^n and F^n , respectively.

In other words, two metrics F and \overline{F} are called projectively related if any geodesic of the first is also geodesic for the second and vice versa.

The spray coefficients of Randers change of generalized $m{\rm th}$ root Finsler space \bar{F}^n is given by

$$\bar{G}^{i} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{g}^{il} \left\{ \left[\bar{F}^{2} \right]_{x^{k} y^{l}} y^{k} - \left[\bar{F}^{2} \right]_{x^{l}} \right\}.$$
(4.2)

Lemma 4.1. [10]. Let $A = [A_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ invertible and symmetric matrix, and let $D = [D_i]$ and $E = [E_i]$ be two nonzero $n \times 1$ and $1 \times n$ vectors, respectively, such that $D_iE_j = D_jE_i$. Suppose that $1 + A^{pq}D_pE_q \neq 0$. Then, the matrix $B = [B_{ij}]$ defined by $B_{ij} := A_{ij} + D_iE_j$ is invertible and

$$B^{ij} := (B_{ij})^{-1} = A^{ij} - \frac{1}{1 + A^{pq}D_pE_q} A^{ki}A^{lj}D_kE_l,$$

where matrix (A^{ij}) denotes the inverse of matrix (A_{ij}) .

Theorem 4.2. Let $\overline{F} = \sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} + C$ and $F = A^{\frac{1}{m}}$ be Randers change of generalized mth root and an mth root Finsler metric on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

respectively, where $A := a_{i_1i_2...i_m}(x)y^{i_1}y^{i_2}...y^{i_m}$, $B = b_{ij}(x)y^iy^j$, and $C = c_i(x)y^i$ with $m \neq 1$. Suppose that the following holds:

$$\frac{1}{(m-1)} A^{\frac{m-2}{m}} A^{il} (\zeta_l + 2J_l) + \left[-Lb^{il} - KC^i C^l + I^{il} \right] (\zeta_l + 2J_l) -4\gamma \left(1 + \frac{Lb^{il} - I^{il}}{KC^i C^l} \right) \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{i}} = 0,$$
(4.3)

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_l &= B_{0l} - B_{x^l} + 2C_l C_0 + 2CC_{0l} - 2CC_{x^l}, \\ J_l &= \Big[\frac{\partial^2 (C\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B})}{\partial x^k \partial y^l} y^k - \frac{\partial (C\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B})}{\partial x^l} \Big], \\ \gamma &= \frac{KC^l}{4} \left\{ \left[F^2 \right]_{x^k y^j} y^k - \left[F^2 \right]_{x^j} \right\}, \\ \mathbf{A^i} &= \frac{1}{(m-1)} A^{\frac{m-2}{m}} A^{ip} C_p, \end{aligned}$$

 $C^{l} = g^{lk}C_{k}$ and K, L are constants. Then \overline{F} is projectively related to F.

Proof: By equation (2.2), we have

$$\bar{F}^2 = F^2 + B + C^2 + 2C\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}.$$
(4.4)

Then using Proposition (3.1), we obtain

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = \hat{g}_{ij} \left(1 + \frac{C}{H} \right) + C_i C_j + \frac{C_i D_j + C_j D_i}{H} - C \frac{D_i D_j}{H^3},$$
(4.5)

where

$$\hat{g}_{ij} = g_{ij} + b_{ij},$$

$$g_{ij} = A^{(\frac{2}{m}-2)} \left[(m-1)AA_{ij} + (2-m)A_iA_j \right],$$
(4.6)

and $H = \sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}$. From Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\bar{g}^{ij} = \hat{g}^{ij} - KC^i C^j + I^{ij}, \qquad (4.7)$$

where K is a constant, I^{ij} will be the inverse of the last two term in equation (4.5), which easily may not be calculate explicitly, and

$$\hat{g}^{ij} = g^{ij} - Lb^{ij} = A^{-\frac{2}{m}} \left[\frac{1}{(m-1)} A A^{ij} + \frac{(m-2)}{(m-1)} y^i y^j \right] - Lb^{ij}, \qquad (4.8)$$

where L is a constant and g^{ij} is the inverse of g_{ij} . Then by equations (4.2), (4.4), and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{G}^i &= \frac{1}{4} \left[\hat{g^{il}} - KC^i C^l + I^{il} \right] \\ &\times \left[\frac{\partial^2 (F^2 + B + C^2 + 2C\sqrt{A\frac{2}{m} + B})}{\partial x^k \partial y^l} y^k - \frac{\partial (F^2 + B + C^2 + 2C\sqrt{A\frac{2}{m} + B})}{\partial x^l} \right]. \end{split}$$

Then from equation (4.8), we can write

$$\begin{split} \bar{G}^{i} &= \frac{1}{4} \left[g^{il} - Lb^{il} - KC^{i}C^{l} + I^{il} \right] \left[\frac{\partial^{2}(F^{2})}{\partial x^{k}\partial y^{l}}y^{k} - \frac{\partial(F^{2})}{\partial x^{l}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left[g^{il} - Lb^{il} - KC^{i}C^{l} + I^{il} \right] \left[\frac{\partial^{2}(B)}{\partial x^{k}\partial y^{l}}y^{k} - \frac{\partial(B)}{\partial x^{l}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left[g^{il} - Lb^{il} - KC^{i}C^{l} + I^{il} \right] \left[\frac{\partial^{2}(C^{2})}{\partial x^{k}\partial y^{l}}y^{k} - \frac{\partial(C^{2})}{\partial x^{l}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[g^{il} - Lb^{il} - KC^{i}C^{l} + I^{il} \right] \left[\frac{\partial^{2}(C\sqrt{A\frac{2}{m}} + B)}{\partial x^{k}\partial y^{l}}y^{k} - \frac{\partial(C\sqrt{A\frac{2}{m}} + B)}{\partial x^{l}} \right]. \end{split}$$

That is,

$$\begin{split} \bar{G}^{i} &= \frac{1}{4} \left[g^{il} \right] \left[\frac{\partial^{2}(F^{2})}{\partial x^{k} \partial y^{l}} y^{k} - \frac{\partial(F^{2})}{\partial x^{l}} \right] - \frac{(KC^{i}C^{l} + Lb^{il} - I^{il})}{4} \left[\frac{\partial^{2}(F^{2})}{\partial x^{k} \partial y^{l}} y^{k} - \frac{\partial(F^{2})}{\partial x^{l}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left[g^{il} - Lb^{il} - KC^{i}C^{l} + I^{il} \right] \left[B_{0l} - B_{x^{l}} + 2C_{l}C_{0} + 2CC_{0l} - 2CC_{x^{l}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[g^{il} - Lb^{il} - KC^{i}C^{l} + I^{il} \right] J_{l}, \end{split}$$

where

$$J_l = \Big[\frac{\partial^2 (C\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B})}{\partial x^k \partial y^l} y^k - \frac{\partial (C\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B})}{\partial x^l}\Big].$$

Therefore,

$$\bar{G}^{i} = G^{i} - \gamma \left[1 + \frac{(Lb^{il} - I^{il})}{KC^{i}C^{l}} \right] C^{i} + \frac{1}{4} \left[g^{il} - Lb^{il} - KC^{i}C^{l} + I^{il} \right] \zeta_{l} + \frac{1}{2} \left[g^{il} - Lb^{il} - KC^{i}C^{l} + I^{il} \right] J_{l}, \qquad (4.9)$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{KC^{l}}{4} \left\{ \left[F^{2} \right]_{x^{k}y^{l}} y^{k} - \left[F^{2} \right]_{x^{l}} \right\},$$

$$\zeta_{l} = B_{0l} - B_{x^{l}} + 2C_{l}C_{0} + 2CC_{0l} - 2CC_{x^{l}}.$$
(4.10)

Putting

$$\Phi := \frac{(m-2)}{(m-1)} A^{-\frac{2}{m}} y^p C_p, \quad \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{i}} := \frac{1}{(m-1)} A^{\frac{m-2}{m}} A^{ip} C_p, \tag{4.11}$$

we have

$$C^{i} = g^{ip}C_{p} = A^{-\frac{2}{m}} \left[\frac{1}{(m-1)} A A^{ip} + \frac{(m-2)}{(m-1)} y^{i} y^{p} \right] C_{p} = \mathbf{A}^{i} + \Phi y^{i}.$$
(4.12)

By (4.8), (4.9), and (4.12), we get

$$\bar{G}^{i} = G^{i} + \left[A^{-\frac{2}{m}}\frac{(m-2)}{4(m-1)}y^{l}(\zeta_{l}+2J_{l}) - \gamma\left(1+\frac{Lb^{il}-I^{il}}{KC^{i}C^{l}}\right)\Phi\right]y^{i}
-\gamma\left(1+\frac{Lb^{il}-I^{il}}{KC^{i}C^{l}}\right)\mathbf{A}^{i} + \frac{1}{4(m-1)}A^{\frac{m-2}{m}}A^{il}(\zeta_{l}+2J_{l})
+ \frac{1}{4}\left[-Lb^{il}-KC^{i}C^{l}+I^{il}\right](\zeta_{l}+2J_{l}).$$
(4.13)

If the relation (4.3) holds, then by (4.13) the Finsler metric \overline{F} is projectively related to F.

5. Locally projectively flatness of Randers change of generalized mth root metric

A Finsler metric is called locally projectively flat if, for any point, there is a local coordinate system in which the geodesics are straight lines as point sets. It is known that a Finsler metric F(x, y) on an open domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{\ltimes}$ is locally projectively flat if and only if its geodesic coefficients G^i take the form $G^i(x, y) = P(x, y)y^i$, where $P: TU = U \times \mathbb{R}^{\ltimes} \to \mathbb{R}$ is positively homogeneous with degree one and $P(x, \lambda y) = \lambda P(x, y), \lambda > 0$ [3]. We say that P(x, y) is the projective factor of F.

In other words, a Finsler metric $\overline{F} = \overline{F}(x, y)$ on a manifold M^n is said to be locally projectively flat, if and only if

$$(\bar{F})_{x^k y^l} y^k = (\bar{F})_{x^l}.$$

Using equation (2.2), we have

$$\left[\bar{F}\right]_{x^{l}} = \frac{\frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{x^{l}} + B_{x^{l}}}{2\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} + C_{x^{l}}.$$
(5.1)

From (5.1), we get

$$\left[\bar{F}\right]_{x^{k}} = \frac{\frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{x^{k}} + B_{x^{k}}}{2\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} + C_{x^{k}}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{F} \end{bmatrix}_{x^{k}y^{l}} = \frac{\left(2\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}\right)\left(\frac{2}{m}\left(\frac{2-m}{m}\right)A^{\frac{2-2m}{m}}A_{y^{l}}A_{x^{k}} + \frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{x^{k}y^{l}} + B_{x^{k}y^{l}}\right)}{4(A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)} - \frac{1}{4(A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{x^{k}} + B_{x^{k}}\right)\left(\frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{y^{l}} + B_{y^{l}}\right) + C_{x^{k}y^{l}}.(5.2)$$

Let the Finsler metric \overline{F} be locally projectively flat. Then we have

$$\left[\bar{F}\right]_{x^{k}y^{l}}y^{k} - \left[\bar{F}\right]_{x^{l}} = 0.$$
(5.3)

Therefore, from (5.1), (5.2), and (5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left[\bar{F}\right]_{x^{k}y^{l}}y^{k} - \left[\bar{F}\right]_{x^{l}} &= \frac{\left(2\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}\right)\left(\frac{2}{m}\left(\frac{2-m}{m}\right)A^{\frac{2-2m}{m}}A_{l}A_{0} + \frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{0l} + B_{0l}\right)}{4(A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)} \\ &- \frac{1}{4(A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{0} + B_{0}\right)\left(\frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{l} + B_{l}\right) + C_{0l} \\ &- \frac{\frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{x^{l}} + B_{x^{l}}}{2\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} - C_{x^{l}} = 0. \end{split}$$

Hence, \overline{F} is locally projectively flat metric if and only if

$$A_{x^{l}} = \frac{(2-m)}{mA} A_{l} A_{0} + A_{0l} + \frac{m}{2} A^{\frac{m-2}{m}} B_{0l} - \frac{1}{2(A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)} \left(A_{0} + \frac{m}{2} A^{\frac{m-2}{m}} B_{0} \right) \\ \times \left(\frac{2}{m} A^{\frac{2-m}{m}} A_{l} + B_{l} \right) + \left(C_{0l} - C_{x^{l}} \right) m A^{\frac{m-2}{m}} \sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} - \frac{m}{2} B_{x^{l}} A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}.$$
(5.4)

Thus, we have the following result.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\overline{F} = \sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} + C$ be a Randers change of generalized mth root Finsler metric on a manifold M^n . Then, \overline{F} is a locally projectively flat metric if and only if equation (5.4) satisfied.

6. Locally dually flatness of Randers change of generalized *m*th root metric

In Finsler geometry, Shen extended the notion of locally dually flatness for Finsler metrics. A Finsler metric $\bar{F} = \bar{F}(x, y)$ on a manifold M^n is said to be locally dually flat, if at any point there is a standard coordinate system (x^i, y^i) in TM such that $[\bar{F}^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k = 2 [\bar{F}^2]_{x^l}$.

Every locally Minkowskian metric is locally dually flat. Using equation (2.2), we have

$$\left[\bar{F}^{2}\right]_{x^{l}} = \frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{x^{l}} + B_{x^{l}} + 2CC_{x^{l}} + \frac{\frac{2}{m}CA^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{x^{l}} + CB_{x^{l}}}{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} + 2C_{x^{l}}\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}.$$
 (6.1)

From (6.1), we get

$$\left[\bar{F}^{2}\right]_{x^{k}} = \frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{x^{k}} + B_{x^{k}} + 2CC_{x^{k}} + \frac{\frac{2}{m}CA^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{x^{k}} + CB_{x^{k}}}{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} + 2C_{x^{k}}\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \left[\bar{F}^{2}\right]_{x^{k}y^{l}} &= \frac{2}{m} A^{\frac{2-m}{m}} A_{x^{k}y^{l}} + x \frac{2}{m} \frac{(2-m)}{m} A^{\frac{2-2m}{m}} A_{l} A_{x^{k}} \\ &+ B_{x^{k}y^{l}} + 2CC_{ky^{l}} + 2Cl_{cx^{k}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{(A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)} \left[\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} \left\{ \frac{2}{m} \frac{(2-m)}{m} CA^{\frac{2-2m}{m}} A_{l} A_{x^{k}} + \frac{2}{m} CA^{\frac{2-m}{m}} A_{x^{k}y^{l}} \\ &+ \frac{2}{m} A^{\frac{2-m}{m}} A_{x^{k}} C_{l} + C_{l} B_{x^{k}} + CB_{x^{k}y^{l}} \right\} \\ &- \left(\frac{2}{m} CA^{\frac{2-m}{m}} A_{x^{k}} + CB_{x^{k}} \right) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} \left(\frac{2}{m} A^{\frac{2-m}{m}} A_{l} + B_{l} \right) \right] \\ &+ 2C_{x^{k}y^{l}} \sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} + C_{x^{k}} \frac{\frac{2}{m} A^{\frac{2-m}{m}} A_{l} + B_{l}}{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}}. \end{split}$$

$$(6.2)$$

Let the Finsler metric \overline{F} be locally dually flat. Then we have

$$\left[\bar{F}^{2}\right]_{x^{k}y^{l}}y^{k} - 2\left[\bar{F}^{2}\right]_{x^{l}} = 0.$$
(6.3)

~ /~

Therefore, from (6.1), (6.2), and (6.3), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left[\bar{F}^{2}\right]_{x^{k}y^{l}}y^{k} - 2\left[\bar{F}^{2}\right]_{x^{l}} &= \frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{0l} + \frac{2}{m}\frac{(2-m)}{m}A^{\frac{2-2m}{m}}A_{l}A_{0} \\ &+ B_{0l} + 2CC_{0l} + 2ClC_{0} \\ &+ (A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\frac{2}{m}\frac{(2-m)}{m}CA^{\frac{2-2m}{m}}A_{l}A_{0} + \frac{2}{m}CA^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{0l} \\ &+ \frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{0}C_{l} + C_{l}B_{0} + CB_{0}\right\} \\ &- \left(\frac{2}{m}CA^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{0} + CB_{0}\right)\frac{(A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B)^{-\frac{3}{2}}}{2}\left(\frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{l} + B_{l}\right) \\ &+ 2C_{0l}\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} + C_{0}\frac{\frac{2}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{l} + B_{l}}{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}} \\ &- \frac{4}{m}A^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{x^{l}} - 2B_{x^{l}} - 4CC_{x^{l}} \\ &- 2\left(\frac{\frac{2}{m}CA^{\frac{2-m}{m}}A_{x^{l}} + CB_{x^{l}}}{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}}\right) - 4C_{x^{l}}\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} \\ = 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore, \overline{F} is locally dually flat metric if and only if

Thus, we have the following results

Theorem 6.1. Let $\overline{F} = \sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B} + C$ be a Randers change of generalized mth root Finsler metric on a manifold M^n . Then, \overline{F} is a locally dually flat metric if and only if equation (6.4) satisfied.

Corollary 6.2. [9]. Let $F = A^{\frac{1}{m}}$ be an *m*th root Finsler metric on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then F is a locally dually flat metric if and only if the following holds

$$A_{x^{l}} = \frac{1}{2A} \left[\frac{(2-m)}{m} A_{0} A_{y^{l}} + A A_{0l} \right].$$
(6.5)

Putting C = 0 in equation (2.2) and equation (6.4), we get the following corollary.

MANOJ KUMAR

Corollary 6.3. [8]. Let $F = A^{\frac{1}{m}}$ be an *m*th root Finsler metric on an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\tilde{F} = \sqrt{F^2 + B}$ is a locally dually flat metric if and only if the following holds

$$A_{x^{l}} = \left(A_{0l} + \frac{1}{mA}(2-m)A_{l}A_{0} + \frac{m}{2}B_{0l}A^{\frac{m-2}{m}} - mB_{x^{l}}A^{\frac{m-2}{m}}\right)\frac{\sqrt{A^{\frac{2}{m}} + B}}{2\bar{F}}.$$

Acknowledgement: The author expresses his sincere thanks to the Government of India, DAE (Department of Atomic Energy), Mumbai for funding as NBHM (National Board for Higher Mathematics) Post Doctoral Fellow, which reference number is 2/40(18)/2015/R & D-II/9092, dated July 08, 2015.

References

- P.L. Antonelli, R. Ingarden, M. Matsumoto, The Theory of Sprays and Finsler Spaces with Applications in Physics and Biology, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [2] V. Balan, N. Brinzei, Berwald-Moor-type (h, v)-metric physical models, Hypercomplex Numbers in Geometry and Physics, 2 (2005), no. 4, 114–122.
- [3] B. Li, Z. Shen, On projectively flat fourth root metrics, Canad. Math. Bull. 55 (2012) 138-145.
- [4] M. Matsumoto, On Finsler spaces with Randers metric and special forms of important tensors, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 14 (1974) 477–498.
- [5] M. Matsumoto, H. Shimada, On Finsler spaces with 1-form metric. II. Berwald Moor's metric $L = (y^1 y^2 \dots y^n)^{1/n}$, Tensor (N.S.) **32** (1978) 275–278.
- [6] G. Randers, On an asymmetric metric in the four-space of general relativity, Phys. Rev. 59 (1941) 195–199.
- [7] H. Shimada, On Finsler spaces with the metric $L = \sqrt[m]{a_{i_1...,m}} y^{i_1} \dots y^{i_m}$, Tensor (N.S.) **33** (1979) 365–372.
- [8] A. Tayebi, On generalized 4th root metrics of isotropic scalar curvature, Math. Slovaca 68 (2018) 907–928.
- [9] A. Tayebi, B. Najafi, On m-th root Finsler metrics, J. Geom. Phys. 61 (2011) 1479–1484.
- [10] A. Tayebi, E. Peyghan, M. Shahbazi Nia, On generalized m-th root Finsler metrics, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012) 675–683.
- [11] B. Tiwari, G.Kr. Prajapati, On Einstein Kropina change of m-th root Finsler metrics, Differ. Geom. Dyn. Syst. 18 (2016) 139–146.
- [12] B. Tiwari, M. Kumar, On Randers change of a Finsler space with m-th root metric, Int. J. Geom. Methods Modern Phys. 11 (2014), no. 10, 1450087, 13 pp.
- [13] Y. Yu, Y. You, On Einstein m-th root metrics, Differential Geom. Appl. 28 (2010) 290–294.

DST-CENTRE FOR INTERDISCIPLINARY MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INSTITUTE OF SCI-ENCE, BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY, VARANASI-221005, INDIA.

E-mail address: mvermamath@gmail.com